COR MINUTES

To: COR Members
From: Jeanne Hossenlopp
Date: January 18, 2012
Re: Marquette University Committee on Research

Approved Minutes/ January 18, 2012 Meeting

Present: David Clark, Richard Friman, Jeanne Hossenlopp, Kyle Kim, Doug Lobner, Tim McMahon, David Papke, Raj Rathore, Erik Ugland, Marianne Weiss

Excused: Arthur Hefti, William Pink

Also Present: Melody Baker (note taker), Amanda Ahrndt (ORC), Kathy Durben (ORSP), Austin Fritsch (ORC), Tim Melchert (Grad School)

The meeting was called to order by Dr. Lobner at 9:00 a.m. The agenda was approved.

Reports:

Report from the Vice Provost for Research –
Dr. Hossenlopp announced that Ms. Kathy Durben has been named the new Executive Director of ORSP. The position of Research Compliance Officer for Human Subjects and Radiation Safety still needs to be filled in the ORC; candidates will be interviewed soon.

The Health Care Economics and Innovation Consortium is still getting organized and Dr. Hossenlopp is working with faculty at Marquette and MCW. Meetings to establish a Marquette workgroup will start soon.

The F&A proposal is still under negotiation with Finance with a target completion in time for the Distinguished Scholars Reception in March.

The Intellectual Property Review Board will be meeting to review MU’s outdated policy regarding intellectual property and Dr. Hossenlopp explained the importance of updating the policy. The new version will eventually come to the COR for discussion.

Report from the Chair – no report

Report from the Director of ORSP –
Ms. Durben gave a report of awards received and applications made. While awards are close to last year’s figure, applications are down so far.

She is initiating a new program to support faculty applying for the NSF CAREER award. She went on to report on some new awards that were recently received by faculty.

ORSP has hired a new Director of Project Planning who will begin next month.

Three applications have been submitted to GAANN, and two applications are going to NSF for a major research instrumentation award.

The COR recommendations on the draft Promoting Research Objectivity policy have been discussed with the Office of General Counsel and a revised policy will be brought back to COR for review in February.

Report from ORC –
Ms. Ahrndt gave a report of CTSI updates. Human subject’s research agreements have been improved for coordinating between institutions. A consultant provided FDA training and DVD’s are now available for checking out from ORC for those who were unable to attend.

Information on Ethics Point, an independent company for reporting research misconduct anonymously, is being circulated to faculty. Information collected goes to risk management and general counsel.

Unfinished Business:

SFF/RRG award summary –

The summary of this year’s awards was briefly discussed and will be sent to members after some revision. These data will also be reported to University Academic Senate.

New Business:

Review nominations and select Haggerty Award recipient –

Results of the rank order were reported and the nominees were briefly discussed. All of the proposals were quite worthy. A motion was made and seconded to award to the top ranked nominee. A vote was taken and passed unanimously.

It was also discussed whether length of service at MU makes a difference and if a minimum should be required. This will be a topic for future discussion. Given the quality of the applicant pool, the committee would like to be able to offer more than one award.

Way-Klingler Young Scholar applications –

Packets were distributed today (Fellowship nominations will be delivered to member’s mailboxes a few days later). Dr. Hossenlopp noted that based on last year’s recommendation by the COR, the Young Scholar allotment for teaching buy-out, which goes to the dean, was reduced to $20,000 so that four awards could be made this year. Young scholars should be rank ordered and the top four will receive the award.

Planning for Fr. Pilarz meeting –

Fr. Pilarz will attend the February meeting. Dr. Lobner discussed that this should be a positive meeting that makes a convincing case that research and scholarship are essential to MU. Some topics for discussion that Dr. Lobner suggested:

- **Impact on students** – example: working in a lab helps them get into med school, Ph.D. programs.
- **Reputation of the university** – based largely on research. Active research makes it easier to recruit and retain good faculty. The opportunity to engage in research is important to prospective undergrad students.
- **Engaging the community and the world.** Use specific cases to show how an impact is made with research.
- **Ability to raise money** – using faculty research towards this end. How do we articulate that MU is more than just bricks and mortar?
- **Impact on teaching** – requires engagement in research. Important to know teaching and scholarship reinforce one another. Good teacher and good researchers.

Dr. Lobner asked members to think about how they can contribute to the discussion from their areas of expertise. Comments made included:

- Give data for how much money is brought in by research and how is it used.
- Time to do research is important.
- A library endowment would provide a gain in research materials for faculty.
• The importance of research and scholarship in the professional schools and how it increases their reputation.
• Emphasize MU’s niche in higher education, how we can offer research opportunities unique to MU.

Also there was some discussion on MU’s drop in the Carnegie rankings, the causes, and what is being done to bring MU up to the next level.

The meeting adjourned at 10:30am.