Committee on Research Minutes
of the 12/5/12 meeting

Present: Arthur Hefti, Jim Kincaid (CHEM), Doug Lobner, Jane Peterson, Joe Schimmels, Robert Topp, Erik Ugland
Also Present: Melody Baker (note taker), Kathy Durben (ORSP), Ben Kennedy (ORC)
Excused: Syed Akhter, Jeanne Hossenlopp, Tim McMahon, David Papke, William Pink, Raj Rathore

The meeting was called to order by Dr. Lobner at 9:00 a.m. The agenda was approved.

Reports:
Report from the Director of ORSP –
Ms. Durben shared a printout of ORSP announcements and activities and reviewed them with the members.

Review of Intellectual Property Policy -
Ms. Durben reviewed the changes to this policy and related forms which have gone through the IPRB and General Counsel. This type of policy is being implemented at other institutions in the state also. A checkbox will be created in My Job for this so that the form will be completed at the time of hire. This will apply to faculty, staff, and grad students. It is presumed this would also apply to professional students who are paid through grants, but Ms. Durben will get clarification on this.

It was discussed that all grad students, whether they are grant paid or not, should complete the form at matriculation to be sure that no one is missed.

It was asked if the person who is the Director of Technology Transfer (currently Dr. Hossenlopp) could be identified on the website somewhere.

A motion was made to support the revised documents for the Intellectual Property Policy, seconded, and a vote was taken. The motion was unanimously approved. The policy will go to the Academic Senate next.

Report from ORC –
Mr. Kennedy reported that his office is currently working on the renewal of their radiation license. While the IRB manager is been on medical leave, Mr. Kennedy is also managing those duties.

Unfinished Business:
Strategic Planning/Forum –
Dr. Lobner shared that he has met with the chair of the UBGS to discuss a joint forum sometime near the beginning of next semester. He asked members to think about how this can be structured, and what questions should be asked.
It was recommended to make it clear in the announcement what the aims are. For example: to state what the role of research at MU is, what the priorities are, what the process issues are, what research at MU means for the community. Phrase the announcement in such a way to make it clear it is about strategy. Attendees are expected to bring solutions to the forum.

It was also recommended to look at the language that has already been used in strategic planning. It was agreed that it is important that priorities need to be set. Members are agreed that they must have support by University Advancement to raise money for graduate education and research. It was pointed out that even undergraduate education is rated based on research.

Dr. Lobner will work with Dr. Hossenlopp and Dr. Johnson to set a date and make an announcement.

**New Business:**

* SFF/RRG Outcomes –
  Dr. Lobner announced that Dr. Hossenlopp was able to extend the number of proposals funded with some extra money that was available. A tally of the numbers of proposals and amount of funding awarded will be sent to members in the next week.
  Recommendations for improvements to the application/process were also discussed. Changes will be made to the application based on today’s discussion and sent to the members via email for further comments.

* Haggerty Nominations –
  Packets were distributed today and the ranking process was briefly discussed.

The meeting adjourned at 10:25am