Minutes of the last meeting were approved.

Old Business

Forum. Chris K. will welcome and Dick Fotsch and the Provost will have brief remarks. John J. and John P. and Marilyn will summarize the inputs. There will be audience responses after each question. Dick and the Provost will be part of the discussion. The purpose of the forum is for Dick and the Provost to listen to the faculty rather than to answer questions. Chris will keep the discussion on time. There will be 20 minutes per question and a wrap-up. Kristin will draft an evaluation form. If it’s not possible to tape the session, Sharon, Kerry, and Lynn will take notes. John will take notes on his question. Sharon offered to compile the notes.

Plan for Components of Committee Charge. John P. made a motion that the “The Chair and Vice-Chair of UAS be included on the University leadership council, dean’s council meetings and possibly the trustees meetings in order to support the academic mission of the University.” Sharon seconded the motion. Discussion centered on shared governance. Comments included

- John Pauly is very interested in working with faculty on shared governance
- Leadership Council may be boring but it gives a window to hear about what is happening and identify issues that may be important to shared governance.

The motion was unanimously passed.

New Business

Marilyn asked about schedules for next semester. She will send a doodle for what time would be best on Fridays for the meetings next semester.

A question was raised on the issue of needing a replacement on Faculty Council when a faculty member is on sabbatical. There shouldn’t be a penalty to be on sabbatical. Marilyn will work with Kris H. to find the current practice in the by-laws and Kris H. will draft a change to the by-laws.
The topic for the next meeting will be 360 degree feedback. Initial discussion included the following:

- How would we promote it?
- Who put 360 degree feedback on our list of topics?
- We could do a listening session.
- The Provost is in favor of 360 feedback.
- Our role would be to define a process for doing 360 degree feedback.
- Do we want a committee to review the data?
- The faculty has a stake in this and it’s important to have faculty voice.
- What would the 360 degree feedback be used for?
- What the faculty council does would be a recommendation. It becomes a vehicle to get more information.
- There is quite a lot of information about 360 degree feedback that we could read to become more knowledgeable about the topic. Marilyn could send this out.

The meeting adjourned at 12:30 p.m. The next meeting is November 19.

Respectfully submitted,
Mary Voelker
November 15, 2010