I. Call to Order – Dr. Cheryl Maranto called the meeting to order at 3:05 pm.

II. Reflection – Ms. Courtney Guc

III. Approval of September 21, 2015 minutes
Motion to approve, Dr. Tim Melchert; second, Dr. Robert Deahl
Voice Vote: Unanimous

IV. Chair’s Report – Dr. Cheryl Maranto

- Climate Study Forums – Dr. Maranto and Dr. James Welburn are hosting an Academic Senate Forum on Wednesday, November 11th from noon-1:30 pm, AMU 227. Senators are encouraged all to attend.
- Faculty Hearing Committee – Nothing to report at this time.
- Monthly Meeting with President Lovell – Dr. Maranto meets monthly to discuss the concerns of the faculty. She asked members to please contact her with any topics they would like her to discuss.

V. Vice Chair’s Report – Dr. James Richie

- Faculty Council met and are hoping to have a chair chosen by the next meeting. They will continue to work on the charges of the committee.
- Faculty Council will be addressing the benefits issues for part time employees.

VI. Secretary Report – Dr. Noreen Lephardt

- No report.

VII. Provost’s Report – Dr. Daniel Myers

- Update on Searches
  - Vice Provost for Academic Affairs – A decision should be made soon.
  - Dean of Communication – The committee has been collecting information and airport interviews will take place soon.
- Dean of Nursing – The search firm will be chosen soon.
- Vice Provost for Student Affairs – The committee is meeting this week.

- The Study of Graduate Enrollment Outcomes with the Huron Group is wrapping up. The information from the study will assist in developing a comprehensive enrollment plan.
- Encourage all to attend the Climate Study Forums in November.
- Currently working on the budget for next year and planning enrollment goal projections. May not reach the goal this year, but working on new strategies.

VIII. Update on Huron Recommendations on Graduate Enrollment – Dr. Linda Salchenberger
Presentation with PowerPoint
Questions:
- How does the Vice Provost for Enrollment Management envision this? What direction is this going? There is not one direction. The vice provost would be responsible, but must work with others engaged in graduate education and have clarity regarding who does what.
- How much is planning incorporated in strategic planning and enrollment planning? The strategic plan needs to drive the enrollment plan. The work is ongoing and Dr. Marya Leatherwood was moved to the Provost Office to help with this. Graduate education has been somewhat of an afterthought and that must change and encompass graduate school expectations.
- Strange that the Minneapolis area is where they suggested we have graduate enrollment potential. Seems to be several good graduate schools there already? Huron was looking strictly at private institutions and they feel that the Minneapolis area has different industry and innovation.
- Fr. Class asked if they address the question of endowment. Should we be a doctoral institution? The focus was not on doctoral programs, and they did not address financial issues.
- Did they say that we cannot compete against public institutions? UWM is in our market. Dr. Gibson noted that they indicated that we cannot compete on price, but did not say that we should not compete. We have lost revenue because we lost status in the graduate market.
- Dr. Maranto asked about the over-arching plan. What is the proper balance between centralization and decentralization? There is a slide that lists ideas, but was not available. We should strategically leverage programs where we are able, and determine who needs to do what.

IX. Program Review Update – Dr. Linda Salchenberger
Presentation with PowerPoint
Questions
- Thought that there would be a presentation by the academic units that completed a review to the UAS, but this has not taken place. Will this happen, as UAS would like to hear the results? Alignment is the ultimate goal.
- Would like transparency – Perhaps a SharePoint site?
- The recommendations from the reviewers are shown, but no one has asked to see them yet. The degree of confidentiality is most important.
• Dr. Melchert wanted to know what people would be most interested in the program review results. Having a meeting with deans, chairs, directors and program directors may be best.
• Dr. Meyer indicated the reason he asked about the role of the senate and PRC is because review of programs is one of the six primary responsibilities of the UAS. What does that mean and does it belong in UAS?
• Dr. Pasero indicated that the UAS would like to see the final action plan.

X. Update of Joint Bio-Medical Engineering Department with MCW – Dr. Kristina Ropella
Presentation with PowerPoint
Questions
• What is the role of the Senate?
  A single department chair will report to both deans. They must meet the requirement of both graduate schools. General PhD will run through UAS as other programs do, and they have by-laws. MU will still award the UG Bio Med Degree.
• Co-branding and marketing – Dr. Deahl asked how is that working?
  Meetings have taken place and they are working on a new logo, etc.
• Dr. Melchert noted that you would think that merging would be insurmountable, but it sounds optimistic? This will happen. Other institutions have already partnered successfully, and they were contacted for discussion. This will be a work in progress for the next 3-5 years.
• How do you address the Jesuit Catholic piece since they are secular, with regard to stem-cell and moral obligations? It will be in writing that the Catholic side will not participate in certain research.
• How do you sort out yours, mine and ours? Who owns what?
  Most is 50-50 which also includes fundraising, contracts and enrollment.
• Want to increase graduate enrollment. What about discounting?
  There is none.
• Are all faculty appointed jointly?
  No, each institution appoints faculty and awards faculty tenure. Employment, if terminated at one place, co-terminated at the other. Joint in terms of equipment.
• Dr. Thorn asked whether state money on the public side that could be an obstacle?
  Not that they are aware of.

XI. Additional Revisions to Committee Statutes (Committee membership) – Dr. Cheryl Maranto
Motions to Approve
  a. Revise Article 4 section 2.01 (University Committee on Faculty Promotion and Tenure membership) delete Vice Provost for Research and Dean of the Graduate School and add Vice Provost for Faculty Affairs (Attachment XIa )

  Motion to approve, Dr. Bill Thorn; second, Ms. Mary Jo Wiemiller
  Voice Vote: Unanimous

  b. Revise Article 4 section 3.01 (University Library Board membership) delete the Vice President for Student Affairs (undergraduate student) and the Vice Provost for Research and Dean of the Graduate School (graduate student) will recommend student members to the Board who serve for one academic year and add
Marquette University Student Government will select one undergraduate student and the Graduate Student Organization will select one graduate student to serve on the Board as voting members. (Attachment XIb)

Motion to approve, Dr. Bill Thorn; second, Dr. Sumana Chattopadhyay
Voice Vote: Unanimous

c. Revise Article 4 Section 3.04 (University Assessment Committee) delete the Vice Provost for Undergraduate Programs and Teaching (as a member of the committee) and the Committee shall be chaired by the Vice Provost for Undergraduate Programs and Teaching or a designee of the Vice Provost and add the Committee shall be chaired by the Assessment Director. (Attachment XIc)

Motion to approve, Dr. Bill Thorn; second, Dr. Julia Azari
Voice Vote: Unanimous

XII. Adjourn – Dr. Maranto asked for a motion to adjourn

Motion to adjourn, Dr. Bill Thorn; second, Dr. Abir Bekhet
Voice Vote: Unanimous
Adjourned at 4:32 pm.

The next meeting will take place on Monday, November 16th at 3:00 pm in AMU Ballrooms C/D

Respectfully submitted,

Noreen E. Lehardt, Ph.D.
UAS Secretary