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Introduction

When I was first approached about an exhibition of Ray Parker's paintings and drawings, his paintings were not foremost in my thoughts of major American artists of the second half of the Twentieth Century. Curious, nevertheless, I decided to investigate. The investigation revealed an artist of originality and a body of vital works. While sometimes linked with the Abstract Expressionists and the Color Field painters of this era, his approach to painting brings further simplification in the reductive efforts of painters to discover the essential elements of their art form. Color and shape and their relationship to the two dimensional space of the canvas, of course, remains the core.

My investigation revealed a substantial career already in place during the artist's lifetime. This claim is supported by the very strong critical statement of essayist William Agee in the opening lines to his essay for this volume, “From 1958 to 1965 Ray Parker made some of the best paintings done by any artist after 1950.” This is indeed a strong claim. It places Parker in competition with giants in the field such as Jackson Pollock, Kenneth Noland, Helen Frankenthaler, and others whose notoriety has fared somewhat better in the contemporary art consciousness.

And yet his record of solo exhibitions at major institutions including the Solomon R. Guggenheim Museum in 1961, and the Phillips Collection, Washington, D. C. in 1979 offers support to Agee's bold assertion. His placement in major museum collections such as the Museum of Modern Art, New York, and the Whitney Museum of American Art, as well as the Tate in London and the National Gallery of Art in Washington.

Despite these accolades, the lesson is that fame in the art world can be short lived in a constant and ever widening stream of creative output. Yet it is important to the preservation of our culture that we sustain our artistic heritage. The efforts of the Joan Washburn Gallery and the Parker Family deserve commendation. But it is also important that museums such as the Haggerty Museum support such efforts. Hence it is with gratitude that we bring attention to the work of Ray Parker and help to keep it in the repertory of important work by American artists. And were it not for Haggerty Friends Board Member Louis Winter, who first raised the possibility of such an exhibition, it might never have occurred.

Curtis Carter
Director
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The Haggerty Museum of Art is pleased to present the exhibition Ray Parker Paintings 1958-1971: Color into Drawing. This exhibition will serve to renew interest in the works of Ray Parker, a seminal figure among the abstract artists of the mid-twentieth century. His explorations with color and shape augment the efforts of colorfield and abstract expressionist artists.

This exhibition is made possible with the generous cooperation of the family of Ray Parker, and Joan and Brian Washburn of the Joan Washburn Gallery, New York. The Washburns and Parkers played an integral role in developing the exhibition by providing information on the artist, and on individual paintings and drawings. The planning of the exhibition and production of the catalogue were greatly aided by the expertise of William Agee, Professor of Art History at Hunter College, New York who contributed a scholarly essay to the catalogue.
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William C. Agee, Evelyn Kranes Kossak Professor of Art History, Hunter College, New York

From 1958 to 1965 Ray Parker (1922-1990) made some of the best paintings done by any artist after 1950. He called them the Simple Paintings. Seen now, they reaffirm Parker as one of the most important artists of the period. Composed of but a few shapes—sometimes only one, sometimes as many as five—they are “simple” in name only, for neither their formation, their arrangement, their mood and feel, nor their effect is simple. The more we engage them, the more we open ourselves to their magical presence, the more complex and evocative they become.

The Simple Paintings were widely acclaimed when Parker first showed them, but for years afterward they were largely invisible, part of a hidden history of painting in the later 1950s, one that we are recovering only now, through a process more like classical archaeology than modern art history. The recovery of these lost treasures of American art began with the memorial show held in 1990 at Hunter College, where Parker had taught for many years. More recent exhibitions at the Joan Washburn Gallery in New York have explored the depth and variety that he developed in both larger and smaller formats. The current exhibition at the Haggerty Art Museum allows us to see these glorious paintings once more, now in the context of his later paintings of the 1960s and 1970s. In these works Parker moved from a process of formation of color shapes to fields of intense color activated by pure and free drawing.

The Simple Paintings are truly monumental: weighty, dense, literal, almost human in the presence of their shapes. As Parker later recalled, “I found shapes that floated, rested heavily, hung, nudged, bumped, touched, hovered in vast voids of separation, were many, were few, isolated, single, alone.” He further described them as “quiescent, bound by the gravity that makes bodies in orbit hang in a stillness where the slowest movement marks the space from one to another.” They recall Mark Rothko’s declaration that his shapes were like actors in a drama, but while there are clear analogies, the Simple Paintings are of this world, here, with us, earthy, more like Robert Motherwell’s works than Rothko’s ethereal paintings. This is why the dimensions of even the largest paintings do not exceed six or seven feet, the space defined by the outstretched arms of a man—the oldest, dating to Leonardo’s Vitruvian man, and the most human measurement. It is why Parker could once remark that he felt “you could go up and put your arms around one of them.”

These paintings have a hard-won singularity, for they emerged from Parker’s earlier art only after he had been an accomplished painter for more than ten years. (It is worth noting that his earlier work had been recognized in 1950, when he was included in a new talent exhibition at the Museum of Modern Art.) Parker had developed his gift for color—the true medium of his art—in the “Stroke” paintings, which consisted of multiple painterly patches of abstract color related to the eliding color passages in Paul Cézanne’s late landscapes, and to the open touches of brilliant color in Henri Matisse’s Fauve works. Parker recalled that in front of one of these works his friend the painter Rollin Crampton held up fingers and thumbs to frame just two strokes, commenting, “Wouldn’t this be a beautiful painting?” The path was in fact more complex, for the shapes of Motherwell, as well as those of Rothko, hinted at Parker’s direction, but the artist felt that Crampton had predicted the course of his art, as well as that of much art of the later 1950s and the 1960s.

There is much truth in this, for in the later 1950s a generation of artists that included Parker, Kenneth Noland, Al Held, Jack Youngerman, and Frank Stella pushed toward a more distilled and clarified type of painting, moving beyond what they had felt had become the overworked surfaces and dulled color of much later Abstract Expressionism. The Simple Paintings were at the forefront of this drive. These younger artists retained the scale, immediacy, and power of Abstract Expressionism, which they held
in high esteem, but they sought to reinvigorate it, feeling that it had become too predictable, rhetorical, and even academic. The Simple Paintings have the brushed surfaces and rough edges associated with Abstract Expressionism, but in more focused, clearly defined, and articulated forms that look forward to the lean geometries and minimalist impulses of much art of the 1960s. This new drive dictated a greater reliance on color, which was restored to its full primacy after years in a lesser role in gestural abstraction. Parker later recalled that the impetus for the Simple Paintings had been the desire “to cut out everything else but pigment on ground and let color tell the whole story.” From there his forms “grew and spread, gradually becoming bigger or smaller, rounder or more square, more ragged or else exact and regular in their edges, all according to the need of the color surface to make itself dense enough and real,” as he described it. Thus, the Simple Paintings both announced and participated in what we know as the color field painting of the 1960s, which had actually come to fruition in the later 1950s.

Parker’s working method, steeped in Abstract Expressionist practice, was improvisational and confrontational. He tacked bare canvas to the wall, keeping the ground neutral with only a priming coat to maintain and heighten the clarity of the color shapes. There was no preconceived plan or preliminary sketch. He often used rags to apply the paint, allowing the color to spread to its “fullness of volume,” as he termed it, letting it respond to the pressure of his hand, recording the nuances of touch and feeling, change and movement, like a psychic seismograph. He let the materials dictate his moves, exploring and discovering as he went, working out of the beauty of the picture as you see it, a method with a long tradition in American art that can be traced as far back as Alfred Stieglitz in the first years of the twentieth century as the basis for a truly modern process of making art. The choice of color was wide open and unpremeditated; almost any color would do. Hues range from light grays to deep, rich blues to brilliant, luminous reds. The distinctiveness of a color—its unique identity, not its interaction with other colors—was the important factor. Fairfield Porter’s description of de Kooning’s art—“Colors are not bright, but intensely themselves, as if each color has been freed”—is apt. Parker’s color could be flat and uniform, but often it was, he said, “mixed up—wet-in-wet, as though the canvas itself was a palette where things were being mixed.” Thus we see abrupt changes of hue and value, as well as rich layerings, which are among the constant surprises that we encounter in these paintings. To be convincing and real, his color depended not only on hue and value but also on amount, proportion, luminosity, texture, and weight. Also important is the internal scale of color, the relation of shapes to themselves and the edges of the canvas. Parker’s fullness of scale coincides with the fullness of color volume, accounting for the power and effectiveness of the very small Simple Paintings, some of which are no larger than twelve inches square. To ensure the unity and wholeness of the painting, changes were made only in process; no additions or corrections could be made afterward. The whole painting might be in error, he said, but never a part of it.

Shapes could be arranged as horizontal or vertical in format; they could be stacked, adjoining, or separate. The paintings can be slow and still, or floating, off center, or frontal, or architectural, post-and-lintel style, like a modern Stonehenge. In turn, they can be meditative and contemplative, playful and lighthearted, or as in a 1963 triptych (For Kate, below) of single vertical shapes, solemn, the forms isolated and immovable, recalling Alberto Giacometti’s standing figures or the characters in Samuel Beckett’s Waiting for Godot, both cultural icons of the 1950s. The possibilities were endless. We cannot help but feel in these poetic and moving paintings an urgency, an inner imperative, that made them unique in their time and that still speaks to us today.

For Kate, 1963, oil on canvas, triptych, each panel 74 x 36 in. Courtesy Private Collection, Chicago, Illinois
But as good as the Simple Paintings were, by the mid-1960s Parker came to feel he had done what he could with them. He had apparently found himself constrained by the format of these paintings; by their frontality and closed, discrete shapes; and perhaps by their sometimes dark mood, which could refer to the existentialist angst of the early 1950s. Further, given his curious and experimental nature, he was inclined not to settle for a signature style, but rather to continue to explore new possibilities. In effect, he posed for himself the question, and the challenge, of discovering what more could be done with color, his true gift. Could it be pushed and expanded, to still let it “tell the whole story,” but now in an even fuller, more active role? Sparked by the new mood of 1960s optimism, Parker answered the question with an outpouring of color that filled the entirety of the surface in a way that the Simple Paintings could not. As the forms of the Simple Paintings began to break apart and fill the surface, hues became even higher keyed and more vibrant than before, forming a literal, allover field of color. Into these fields he introduced myriad forms, of all manners and shapes, in a wide variety of contrasting colors. The results were dazzling and constituted one of the essential bodies of 1960s color field painting, which is a crucial—and glorious—chapter in the history of American art that demands a full and comprehensive study.

While Parker unleashed a new torrent of color, he also introduced a new pictorial element, the oldest and most venerable of mediums: drawing. The myriad shapes of the new paintings were now informed as much by a freedom of drawing as by color creation. As Parker described it:

From 1962 occasionally, and for good in 1965, I got over the inborn American distaste for shape consciousness and the fear that drawing could be corny, which caused us to use anything but the traditional means to paint on the canvas. I had made the simple paintings by applying the paint with rags. Quitting the myth that a painter must be innocent of the artifice of art freed me of the limits and rules I had made for myself for color and field. Now I could make a screwy shape, even a line! Color, yes! Field, yes! Elaborate shapes, lateral movements, changing speeds, multiple rhythms (once more) Yes! Anything, yes! And withal, these new paintings are simple and direct.

In the new work, Parker’s fusion of color and drawing combined and reconciled the old distinctions between Florentine *disegno* and Venetian *colore*. He had retained color, so dear to him, but with the addition of drawing, he made paintings of a much different type than the Simple Paintings. The post-1965 work was fast, versus the slower pace of the earlier work; was active, versus still; had clean edges, versus ragged outlines; free movement, versus set positioning; and was charged and electric, versus meditative. One is not better than the other, but they are different, with the later work exploring a new range of formal and emotive effects.

While new to his paintings of the 1960s and 1970s, drawing had long been a preoccupation of Parker’s. He had been trained at the University of Iowa, where drawing was taught as a core part of the curriculum, and he himself taught drawing at Hunter College, where he was a professor until his death in 1990. His early work of the late 1940s was based on a loose cubist grid, and drawing was evident within the stroke paintings of the 1950s. American art in these years was largely dominated by the gestural type of drawing practiced by many of the Abstract Expressionists and employed in the service of organic, biomorphic form. Thus it can be said that Parker had retained and reintroduced an older but still contemporary medium into the new color fields of the 1960s. His generation had been inspired by the active drawing and calligraphy of Joan Miró, and his example is surely evident in Parker’s post-1965 art. Other artists of a much different persuasion, working at the same time, had also retained drawing as a basis of their art. Stuart Davis (1892-1964), an artist Parker deeply respected, had always insisted that his art, for all its color, was fully grounded in drawing.

But the great example for Parker’s new fusion of color and drawing would have been the art of Matisse, the greatest artist of the twentieth century, whose work reached a new level of prestige in America after his death in 1954. His famous color cutouts, done after 1944, were widely shown in America and became a protean source of possibilities for countless artists of the late 1950s and 1960s. Especially important was the Matisse show of the late, large cut gouaches held at The Museum of Modern Art in 1961 which had an
explosive effect on countless artists. Here too color told the whole story, with Matisse virtually inventing a new medium for its application. He cut directly into colored paper with scissors, making pure, discrete color shapes, which he then arranged over a pure field, a simple and direct process with a new and unmatched expressive and emotive intensity. Matisse’s cutouts offered a potent way out of what was increasingly seen as the overworked surfaces and clogged colors of later Abstract Expressionism, a way to a new clarity and directness of the picture.

Also of the greatest importance to American painting was the acquisition by the Museum of Modern Art in 1949 of Matisse’s seminal Red Studio, of 1911, one of the most influential paintings of the twentieth century. Its large-scale field of color, the intense red enveloping and carrying the entire surface, demonstrated the means by which artists could move into new and abstract realms of color in a previously unknown size and scale. It was the painting that enabled Rothko, for example, to complete the final move into the floating rectangles of color that marked his mature style. Thereafter, the challenge of making a large-scale, all-red painting became a signal call to artists, answered by, among others, Barnett Newman in Vir Heroicus Sublimus (Collection The Museum of Modern Art, New York) of 1950 and 1951 and by Ad Reinhardt in his large red abstractions of 1952. It is useful to consider one of Parker’s best paintings, his untitled canvas of 1968 (plate no. 9), in this context, for the painting is at heart a large red field. Into it Parker cut and activated myriad biomorphic, organic shapes—some thick, others very thin—that move across the field laterally and diagonally, setting up almost endless sequences and interactions of color and shape. It is as if Parker had fused, in his own personal idiom, the color and drawing of Matisse, for the shapes of the cutouts were a new form of drawing, with the calligraphy associated with Miró. Closer to home, the painting also seems a response to the high color and active surfaces of Stuart Davis, particularly his work of the late 1930s and early 1940s.

But make no mistake, this and others of the time are not imitative or derivative in any sense; they are uniquely Parker’s own. They move with their own rhythms, their syncopation, much like the jazz music that he (and Davis) loved so much. Other post-1965 works could be quieter and less active, such as his untitled painting also of 1968 (plate no. 11). Here the shapes unwind and expand more slowly, languorously, like bodies in arrested motion or plants moving toward the sun, crowding themselves to the right, leaving open space to the left. This was fine by Parker—“I like the idea of wandering all over the canvas making whatever marks I want,” he said, and open spaces could let you “dig on emptiness.” As much as the color, Parker took great pride in the acuity of his drawing: “There is nothing accidental or neutral about my edges, he stated. “I’m proud of my drawing. I’m making a stand that artists go ahead and draw.”

Indeed, one of the legacies of Parker’s later work was to save drawing and the form invention so central to earlier Abstract Expressionism as an impetus for a special type of color field painting and as a refreshing antidote to the increasingly minimalist formats found in much American art of the 1960s. His paintings of the 1970s and beyond reminded us of, and in fact insisted on, the viability of abstract art and of painting itself in an age of conceptualism that had all but renounced the medium. His art was a vital and original part of a long tradition of color painting, a tradition that is still being defined today. Parker’s art tells us of the old and continuing ability of painting to convey the most authentic, most deep-seated, aspect of our experience, that of our feeling toward, and our understanding of, the world in which we live.

1. Except where noted, this and all other Parker quotations are from his 1975 statement published in the Catalogue of the American Collection, (London: The Tate Gallery, 1978), p.5.
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