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Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milwaukee-area PMI</th>
<th>March 2019</th>
<th>February 2019</th>
<th>January 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seasonally adjusted</td>
<td>50.05</td>
<td>55.09</td>
<td>58.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Milwaukee, Wisconsin) – March’s Index registered at 50.05, a decline from 55.09 in February. March’s index continues to indicate positive territory.

What respondents are saying in March 2019:

- Pricing is stabilizing and lead times are falling, but economy seems to be cooling.
- Orders are slowing considerably.
- Tariffs are expected to cause long-term impacts and require renegotiation of pricing.
Important: See explanatory notes on the survey and diffusion index at the end of this report.

(*) The indices are seasonally adjusted except for the Customers’ Inventories, Prices, Backlog of Orders, Exports, and Imports Indexes, which do not meet the accepted criteria for seasonal adjustments.

### MANUFACTURING AT A GLANCE: March 2019*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Mar-19</th>
<th>Feb-19</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Percentage Point Change</th>
<th>Direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMI</td>
<td>50.05</td>
<td>55.09</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>growing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Orders</td>
<td>46.25</td>
<td>42.52</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>declining</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>51.13</td>
<td>55.31</td>
<td>-4.2</td>
<td>growing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>50.71</td>
<td>49.80</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>growing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplier Deliveries</td>
<td>59.29</td>
<td>65.30</td>
<td>-6.0</td>
<td>slower</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>42.86</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>-19.6</td>
<td>declining</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customers’ Inventories *</td>
<td>46.43</td>
<td>46.43</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>declining</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prices *</td>
<td>53.33</td>
<td>68.75</td>
<td>-15.4</td>
<td>growing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backlog of Orders *</td>
<td>34.62</td>
<td>46.43</td>
<td>-11.8</td>
<td>declining</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exports *</td>
<td>45.45</td>
<td>45.45</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>declining</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imports *</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>61.11</td>
<td>-11.1</td>
<td>neutral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What respondents are saying in March 2019:

- Good R&D outlook and customer counts.
- Some products experiencing increased demand and some experiencing decreased demand, causing uncertainty in business.
- Supplier orders are steady but increases in volumes.
- Some suppliers appear to be expanding and reinvesting.
- Mixed messages from customers on demand and forecasts. Some increases, but more postponements and reductions.
Blue and White-Collar Employment:

We have collected input on Blue and White Collar Employment. The indices are below for January 2019, February 2019, and March 2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diffusion Index Jan-19</th>
<th>Diffusion Index Feb-19</th>
<th>Diffusion Index Mar-19</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Collar</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>growing</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Collar</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>growing</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: These have been calculated based on the seasonally adjusted (SA) Blue and White Collar indices.

What respondents are saying in March 2019:

- Outsourcing suppliers due to issues hiring qualified workers.
- Shortage of skilled machinists and tooling engineers.

Buying Policy

Average commitment lead-time for Capital Expenditures decreased from 111 days to 82 days. Average lead-time for Production Materials increased from 46 days to 54 days. Average lead-time for Maintenance, Repair and Operating (MRO) Supplies increased from 20 days to 28 days.

Six-Month Outlook on Business Conditions

In this outlook, there is an upward shift in positive expectations compared with February in terms of market conditions. Approximately 40% of respondents expect positive conditions, 27% expect conditions to remain the same and 33% of the respondents expect conditions to worsen within the next six months.
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Insights on the ISM PMI from the National Organization:

ISM Manufacturing Report On Business® Background

In February 1982, the PMI was developed by the U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC) and ISM. The index, based on analytical work by the DOC, adjusts five components of the Institute’s monthly survey — new orders, production, employment, supplier deliveries and inventories — for normal seasonal variations, applies equal weights to each and then calculates them into a single monthly index number.

An update of research originally done by Theodore S. Torda, the late economist for the DOC, shows a close parallel between growth in real Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and the PMI. The index can explain about 60 percent of the annual variation in GDP, with a margin of error that averaged ± .48 percent during the last ten years. George McKittrick, an economist at the DOC, said "Not only does the PMI track well with the overall economy, but the indication provided by ISM data about how widespread changes are, complements analogous government series that show size and direction of change."

In January 1989, the Supplier Deliveries Index from the Report became a standard element of the DOC’s Bureau of Economic Analysis Index of Leading Economic Indicators. The data was incorporated into the index from June 1976 forward. In January 1996, The Conference Board began compiling this index.

What Is a Diffusion Index?

Diffusion indexes have the properties of leading indicators and are convenient summary measures showing the prevailing direction of change. The percent response to the “Better,” “Same” or “Worse” question is difficult to compare to prior periods. Therefore, the percentages are “diffused” for this purpose. A diffusion index takes those indicating “Better” and half of those indicating “Same” and adds the percentages. This effectively measures the bias toward a positive (above 50 percent) or negative index (below 50 percent). For example, if the response is 20 percent “Better,” 70 percent “Same,” and 10 percent “Worse,” then the diffusion index would be 55 percent (20% + [0.50 x 70%]). The data for each question is converted to a diffusion index and then seasonally adjusted.

For each index, a reading above 50 percent indicates expansion of an index, while a reading below 50 percent indicates it is generally declining. And a reading of 50 percent indicates “no change” from the previous month. Supplier Deliveries is an exception. A Supplier Deliveries Index above 50 percent indicates slower deliveries, and below 50 percent indicates faster deliveries.

(https://www.instituteforsupplymanagement.org/files/ISMREPORT/ROBBroch08.pdf)