of Herodian architecture (Jeremias 1956; Weippert, BRü 2, 145 [& lit.]). It was presumably built over a more modest shrine, called byt 'brhm (Heb Jab. 22:24; 23:6; DJD III 269; lat baris Abraham) also known as byt hbrm 'house of the Blessed One' (3Q15 XII.8; Mur 43-2; Lipiński 1974:50-51). This 'house of Abraham/the Blessed One' is most probably not identical with the cult-place of Mamre, which at present is located at Ramat al-Khalil, 3 km. north of Hebron (Bell. IV 533; QapGen XXI.19). Though Mamre is nowhere mentioned explicitly outside Genesis, it was an ancient sanctuary and a centre of pilgrimage (2 Sam 2:4; 5:3). According to Josephus the ancient terebinth, called Ogyges was still shown there (Bell. IV 533; Ant. I 186). The place was destroyed by Hadrian after the Bar Kochba revolt and turned into a marketplace. Constantine built a basilica inside the Herodian wall (Sosomnus, Hist. Eccl. II 4; Jeremias 1958; Weippert, BRü 2, 145; Magen 1991). The still impressive remains of both places and the unbroken tradition testify to Abraham's religious significance as the father of all who are of the faith of Abraham (Rom 4:16), and to his ancestral cult, in the Haram el-Khalil, still observed by Jews, Christians and Muslims (Jeremias 1958).
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ADAM

I. In the Bible itself there are no traces of traditions that Adam was ever regarded as a divine or angelic being. For non-biblical ANE material, there is little relevant to Adam in the vocabulary of the text, as the concept of an angelic or divine status is rare (cf. b.Sanh. 38a: "Our rabbis taught: Adam was created [last of all beings] on the eve of Sabbath. Why so? Lest the minhah should say: The Holy One, blessed be He, had a partner [sc. Adam] in His work of creation"). Gnomic sources seem to confirm this when they speak of Adamas through
whom everything came into being (Fossun 1985:267). In other early Christian sources the idea of Adam having been God’s viceregent crops up occasionally, especially in the so-called Adam literature (see, e.g., the Cave of the Treasure; further Stone 1992). Philo’s distinction between the heavenly Man of Gen 1:27 and the earthly man of Gen 2:7 may have been one of the tributaries to the development of this motif (Opif. mundi 134 et al.). In 2 Enoch 30:11-12 (long recension) God says: “On the earth I assigned him [Adam] to be a second angel, honored and great and glorious. I assigned him to be a king, to reign on the earth and to have my wisdom. There was nothing comparable to him on the earth, not even among my creatures that exist [the angels].” But the Testament of Abraham ch. 8 (rec. B) goes a step further when identifying Adam with a Kavod-like (→Glory) Man in heaven, “sitting upon a throne of great glory” at the gates of Paradise, encircled by a multitude of angels and looking at the many souls being led to destruction and the few souls being led to life. “Adam is enthroned in heaven as the Glory at the end of time” (Fossun 1985:276). The description of Adam as a “wondrous man,” “adorned in such glory,” with a “terrifying apperance, like that of the Lord” (Test. Abr. 11, rec. A) clearly recalls Ezekiel’s vision in ch. 1. It would seem that in certain circles with mystical inclinations God’s Glory, the Heavenly Man, and Adam merged into one angelic figure. On the development of this idea in later Kabbalistic circles see Scholem 1974 (Reg., s.v.). The implication that all this may have for the study of New Testament christology is a matter of debate.
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ADAT

I. The Ugaritic male title adn (=Lord) for god and men has a female counterpart: adt (<*adattu <*adantu). Eissfeldt (1939) proposed to read in the lament Jer 22:18 wēhūy ‘ādāt, ‘oh, Mistress’, implying that a female deity is invoked.

II. At Ugarit, adt occurs as the female counterpart to adn. adt is not only used to indicate the Ugaritic queen-mother, but also the mother-goddess as can be inferred from names like bn ‘adl = DUMU a-da-ta-ya (PRU VI, 83 iv:11); 1A-da-ti-ya (PRU III, p.114:29); ‘baadāt = TÈ-a-da-te (F. Gröndahl, Die Personennamen der Texte aus Ugarit [SIP 1: Roma 1967] 45:90; KTU 3:3:12; PRU VI, 79:19, 185:2); hyadāt (PRU II, 47:22); 1Šum-a-da-te (PRU VI, 107:6); [?]Um-mi-a-da-te (PRU V, 107:7). The title ’dt, ‘mistress’, is attested in Phoenicia for Ba‘alat of Byblos (KAI 6:2; 7:4) and for Astarte (KAI 29:2). In a proto-sinaitic inscription from Serabit el-Khadim →Baalat (= →Hathor) is given this epitheton (CPSI No. 37). It also occurs in Palmyra (J. Cantineau, Syria 17 [1936] 334-335; Noth 1937:345). Finally, the Egyptian-Asiatic female personal name ‘dm‘ = DUMU ‘dm‘ = DUMU a-da-ta-ya (PRU VI, 107:6) must be noted. In Aramaic inscriptions the title mr(‘)mārāt (= →Atargatis?) is used next to mārā, ‘lord’, more than once (Diso 166-167; KAI 242).

III. It is not settled whether or not the female title ‘mistress’ for the divine occurs in the Old Testament. Eissfeldt (1938:489; cf. Halat 12, 231) proposed to read in the lament Jer 22:18 wēhūy ‘ādāt, ‘oh, Mistress’, (parallel to ‘ahōt in the preceding colon), though the masoretic text, wēhūy hōdāt, ‘oh, his majesty’, is rather clear (but see W. L. Holladay, Jeremiah I [Philadelphia 1986] 592, 597). The only indication that the title was known in an Israelite