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Roles and Titles of the Seventh Antediluvian Hero in the Parables of Enoch: A Departure from the Traditional Pattern?

Andrei A. Orlov

This work rests on the premise that the clarification of the connection between the two heroes Enoch and Metatron can be achieved through analysis of the roles and titles of both figures in their respective traditions. I will argue that the various appellations of Enoch and Metatron provide the most important clues to the identities of both characters. This approach is especially promising in respect to Metatron since the bulk of information about this angel in rabbinic and Hekhalot materials appears in the form of his titles and description of his roles, as well as activities related to them.

I also contend that understanding the heavenly roles and titles of Enoch and Metatron can help explicate the enigmatic evolution of a character from a patriarch and a seer instructed by angels in the celestial secrets to a second divinity who himself is responsible for instructing visionaries and delivering to them the ultimate mysteries of the universe, including dispensing the Torah to Moses.

It will also be shown that the analysis of the evolution of the roles and titles associated with Enoch-Metatron can assist scholars in better understanding how and when this elusive transition from a diviner to a second god occurred. Examination of the conceptual development of Enoch-Metatron roles might also help clarify the difference between the influences that genuinely contributed to this gradual evolution from Enoch to Metatron and other currents in the Enochic tradition(s) that, despite their promising appearance, did not directly impact this transition. An illustration can be offered to support this idea. Scholars previously noted that the sudden shift in the Book of Parables toward depicting Enoch as a highly elevated celestial being appears to signal the possible transition from Enochic to Metatron imagery. Indeed, in the Parables Enoch seems to become identified with several highly elevated figures, such as the Messiah, Deutero-Isaiah’s “Servant of the Lord,” and Daniel’s “Son of Man.” Despite the early date of the Parables, students of this text also pointed to the similarities of some imagery of this narrative with the Merkabah tradition.

This analysis of the evolution of the celestial titles of Enoch toward their later counterparts in the Metatron lore, however, will show that the Enochic titles found in the Parables do not occur in these later beliefs about Metatron; nor do they play any formative part in the transition from the early roles and titles of the patriarch to his elevated profile in the Hekhalot literature. This illustration demonstrates that close attention to the titles occurring in Enochic and Merkabah traditions helps identify more accurately the boundaries of the evolution from Enoch to Metatron and properly outlines major factors and traditions involved in this process.

Keeping in mind these presuppositions, I now proceed to the analysis of the evolution of the roles and titles of the seventh patriarch Enoch in the early Enochic lore.

Enoch’s Roles and Titles in Early Enochic Booklets

This investigation of the patriarch’s roles and titles as they appear in the early Enochic writings does not aim to give an exhaustive treatment of these concepts but rather is intended to serve as a sketch that will briefly outline several major developments pertaining to the offices and the appellations of the main hero of the Enochic writings. It is impossible within the limited scope of the in-

This essay represents, with some additional comments, excerpts from my book The Enoch-Metatron Tradition, TSAJ 107 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2005). I am thankful to the editors of Mohr Siebeck Verlag for permission to reproduce parts of my book in this publication.

1. David Suter observed that “the closest tie between Enoch/Metatron in 3 Enoch and the role of Enoch in the earliest literature is the identification of Enoch as the ‘Son of Man’ in 1 En 71:14 at the conclusion of the Parables of Enoch.” See D. W. Suter, Tradition and Composition in the Parables of Enoch, SBLDS 47 (Missoula: Scholars, 1979), 16.

2. Although the titles assigned to the patriarch in the Parables were almost completely dropped by later “Enochic” traditions, the presence of such developments shows that long before the exaltation of Enoch as Metatron in Sefer Hekhalot there was an apparent need of such a type of conceptual development.

3. Suter, Tradition and Composition, 14f.
vestigation to trace all the evidence pertaining to the patriarch’s roles and titles in early Second Temple materials. A thorough treatment of this evidence would require at least a monograph for each Enochic role or title. The task of this investigation is more modest as it concentrates only on some of the evidence pertaining to the major offices and appellations.

In this investigation of early Enochic traditions, I will deliberately avoid any in-depth treatment of Enoch’s roles and titles found in 2 (Slavonic) Enoch. Although some details pertaining to this apocalypse will be occasionally mentioned, a systematic treatment of the roles and titles of the patriarch in the Slavonic apocalypse will be offered in a separate section of the study.

Several words must be said about the exposition of the Enochic roles and titles. One of the difficulties of such a presentation is that some roles of the patriarch have a composite nature, often encompassing several functions that can be linked to his other roles. Because of the composite nature of some Enochic roles, it is sometimes very difficult to delineate strictly their boundaries, as some of their functions can be interchangeable. The situation is even more complicated with the titles. The exact title used often depends on the perspectives of various subjects and parties in the texts represented by divine, angelic, and human agents who have different perceptions of the patriarch’s offices and activities and, as a consequence, name them differently. Some of Enoch’s titles also have a composite nature since one appellation can often include references to the patriarch’s several qualities or roles. The descriptions of such complexities pertaining to the roles and titles always involve repetitive explanations. Wherever possible I will try to avoid tautologies, but it should be recognized that repetitions are inevitable in view of the highly complicated nature of the phenomena under investigation.

Enoch as the Expert in Secrets

Helge Kvanvig observes that “in Jewish tradition Enoch is primarily portrayed as a primeval sage, the ultimate revealer of divine secrets.”

The patriarch’s prowess in the heavenly secrets is deeply embedded in the fabric of the Enochic myth and is set against the expertise in the celestial knowledge that the fallen Watchers once possessed. John Collins observes that

4. H. S. Kvanvig, Roots of Apocalyptic: The Mesopotamian Background of the Enoch Figure and of the Son of Man, WMANT 61 (Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 1988), 27.


“most significantly, Enoch is implicitly cast as a revealer of mysteries. The Watchers are angels who descend to reveal a worthless mystery. Enoch is a human being who ascends to get true revelation.”

The traditions about the patriarch’s expertise in esoteric knowledge are attested in a variety of Enochic materials. In the Astronomical Book the possession and revelation of cosmological and astronomical secrets become a major function of the elevated Enoch. The origin of this role in Enochic traditions can be traced to 1 En 72:1, 74:2, and 80:1, which depict the patriarch as a recipient of angelic revelations, including the celestial knowledge of astronomical, meteorological, and calendrical lore. He remains in this capacity in the majority of the materials associated with the early Enochic circle. In 1 En 41:3 Enoch is portrayed as the one who “saw all secrets of heaven.”

Jub 4:17 also attests to this peculiar role of the seventh patriarch. A large portion of 2 Enoch is devoted to Enoch’s initiation into the treasures of meteorological, calendrical, and astronomical lore during his celestial tour. The Slavonic apocalypse differs from the earlier materials in that it places special emphasis on the secrecy of cosmological revelations, thus demonstrating intriguing similarities with the later rabbinic developments with their stress on the secrecy of neshamata ha-tzibbur. Later Merkabah developments also underscore the role of Enoch as the “Knower of Secrets.” Thus, according to Synopse §4 (3 En 11:2), Enoch-Metatron is able to behold “deep secrets and wonderful mysteries.” Martin Cohen, in his analysis of the Shi’ur Qomah materials, observes that this tradition depicts Metatron as “the revealer of the most recondite secrets about Godhead.”

Several remarks should be made about the sources of Enoch’s knowledge. Collins’s research points to the passage in the Apocalypse of Weeks (1 En 95:2) that succinctly summarizes the possible means by which the patriarch acquires the esoteric information. In this text Enoch informs us that he received it according to that which appeared to him in the heavenly vision, and which he
knew from the words of the holy angels and understood from the tablets of heaven.11 The mention of these three sources underscores the fact that the revelations to the patriarch were given on various levels and through various means of mystical perception: seeing (a vision), hearing (oral instructions of angelus interpres), and reading (the heavenly tablets).

It is curious that the terminology pertaining to secrets began to play an increasingly significant role in the later stages of the development of the Enochic tradition. While in the earliest Enochic booklets, such as the Astronomical Book and the Book of the Watchers, the terminology pertaining to secrets and mysteries is barely discernible, it looms large in the later Enochic materials such as the Book of Parables, 2 Enoch, and finally the Merkabah developments. The growing importance of this terminology can be illustrated by 2 Enoch. While various manuscripts of 2 Enoch are known under different titles, most of them include the word "secrets."12 In some of these titles the term is connected with Enoch's books — "The Secret Books of Enoch." In other titles "secrets" are linked either to God ("'The Book[s] [called] the Secrets of God, a revelation to Enoch") or to Enoch himself ("The Book of the Secrets of Enoch"). This consistency in the use of the term "secrets," in spite of its varied attribution to different subjects, indicates that the authors or the transmitters of the text viewed the motif of secrets as a central theme of the apocalypse.

Finally, one must note that Enoch's role as one who was initiated into the highest secrets of the universe might be implicitly reflected in his name. While several etymologies for the patriarch's name have been proposed, many scholars suggest that it might be related to the Hebrew root הָרֵכֶח, in the sense "to train up," "to dedicate," or "to initiate" (Deut 20:5; 1 Kings 8:63; 2 Chron 7:15).13

Enoch as the Scribe

This section on the unique scribal functions of the seventh antediluvian patriarch begins with a passage found in 2 En 22 that provides a graphic picture of the patriarch's initiation into scribal activities. This initiation takes place near the throne of glory when the Lord himself commands the archangel Verneiil to give a pen to Enoch so that he can write the mysteries explained to him by the angels. This tradition about the scribal functions of the patriarch reflected in the Slavonic apocalypse was already documented in the earliest Enochic literature.14 The Book of Giants fragments label Enoch a distinguished scribe.15 In Jub 4:17 he is attested as the one who "learned (the art of) writing, instruction, and wisdom and who wrote down in a book the signs of the sky."16 In the Merkabah tradition, Enoch/Metatron is also depicted as a scribe who has a seat (later a throne) in the heavenly realm.17 The theme of Enoch-Metatron's scribal functions became a prominent motif in the later rabbincic traditions where, according to b. Hag. 15a, the privilege of sitting beside God was accorded to Metatron alone by virtue of his character as a scribe, for he was granted permission as a scribe to sit and write down the merits of Israel. Targum Pseudo-Jonathan on Gen 5:24 describes Metatron as the Great Scribe (기도 קדוש לכו).18

The important aspect of the early portrayals of Enoch as a scribe is that they depict him in the capacity of both celestial and terrestrial scribe, as the one who not only records messages from his heavenly guides, but also composes petitions at the request of the creatures from the lower realms, for example, the fallen Watchers/Giants who ask him for mediation. The celestial and terrestrial sides of Enoch's duties as a scribe reveal the composite nature of this important role. Indeed, the patriarch's scribal office can be seen as a mixture of various academic disciplines.

12. תַּאֹרְשׁי.
14. In 1 En 7:42, Enoch writes the instructions of the angel Uriel regarding the secrets of the heavenly beings and their movements. See Knibb, Ethiopic Book of Enoch, 21223. William Adler draws the reader's attention to an interesting passage from M. Glynis that refers to Uriel's instruction to Seth in a manner similar to Uriel's revelation of the calendrical and astronomical secrets to Enoch in the Astronomical Book of 1 Enoch. "It is said that the angel stationed among the stars, that is the divine Uriel, descended to Seth and then to Enoch and taught them the distinctions between hours, months, seasons, and years." See W. Adler, Time Timeless: Hebraic History and Its Sources in Christian Chronography from Julius Africanus to George Syncellus, Dumbarton Oaks Studies 26 (Washington, D.C.: Dumbarton Oaks Research Library and Collection, 1989). 105. For the Greek text see J. Bekker, ed., Michaelis Glynis Annales, CSBH (Bonn: Weber, 1836), 228.
17. This tradition can be seen already in 2 En 25:6-4, which depicts the angel Verneiil commanding Enoch to sit down: "You sit down; write everything. . . . And Enoch said, 'And I sat down for a second period of 30 days and 30 nights, and I wrote accurately.'" See F. Andersen, "1 (Slavonic Apocalypse of) Enoch," in The Old Testament Pseudepigrapha, 1141.
irical, where the initiation of the practitioner is combined with the motif of the transference to him of a tablet and a stylus.

James VanderKam observes that the Astronomical Book not only expands several traits of the patriarch that are briefly mentioned in Gen 5, but also assigns an entirely new role to him, that of a writer of angelic discourses. VanderKam points out that the beginning of this new activity can be traced to one of the important testimonies in the Astronomical Book that reveals Enoch in his new celestial office. In 1 En 74:2-26 the patriarch is depicted as the one who writes down the instructions of the angel Uriel regarding the secrets of the heavenly luminaries and their movements: "And Uriel, the holy angel who is the leader of them all, showed me everything, and I wrote down their positions as he showed (them) to me; and I wrote down their months, as they are, and the appearance of their light until fifteen days have been completed."

It can hardly be a coincidence that the text here names the angel Uriel as the one who initiates Enoch into the scolial activities; this angel is often depicted in the Enochic lore as a scribe himself.

Later in the Astronomical Book (1 En 8:6), Uriel advises the patriarch to write down the knowledge received in the celestial realm, so that Enoch can share it with his children during his upcoming visitation of the earth. The patriarch’s records made in heaven thus seem to play an important role in the transmission of the celestial secrets to humans in general and in particular to the patriarch’s son Methuselah, who, like Eneduranki’s son in the Mesopotamian materials, occupies a special place in the mediating activities of the seventh antediluvian hero. One encounters this motif again in 1 En 8:21, when Enoch assures his son Methuselah that he wrote a book for him.

It is puzzling that despite these numerous references to the patriarch’s scolial activities, the Astronomical Book does not overtly label Enoch as a scribe. This title with different variations, however, appears in other early Enochic books, including the Book of the Watchers, the Epistle of Enoch, and


20. This aspect of the scribe as a translator looms large in 2 En 32:2, where Vereveel (Uriel) teaches the elevated patriarch “every kind of language” (the longer recension) and, specifically, “the Hebrew language” (the shorter recension). See Andersen, "2 (Slavonic Apocalypse of Enoch), 340-41.

21. Kvanvig (Roots of Apocalyptic, 303) draws attention to the similar role of Ezar, whose title “scribe of the law” indicates the continuation of scribal and legal duties.


23. Both R. H. Charles and M. Black argue that the possible biblical parallel to Enoch’s role as the scribe could be the passage from Ezek 9 that depicts a man clad in white linen with an inkhorn by his side. See Charles, The Book of Enoch (Oxford: Clarendon, 1893; 2nd ed. 1912); 28; M. Black, The Book of Enoch, SVTP 7 (Leiden: Brill, 1985), 143.
the Book of Giants. In these writings the patriarch’s scribal duties are surrounded by several titles and honorifics, including “scribe,” “scribe of righteousness,” “scribe of distinction,” and “the most skilled scribe.”

One must not forget that the great bulk of information about Enoch’s scribal roles and honorifics found in Enochic literature may implicitly point to the social profile of the authors of these writings. Collins notes that the description of Enoch as “scribe of righteousness” suggests that the author and his circle may have been scribes too. He observes that although we know little about the authors of the Enochic writings, the books of Enoch “often speak of a class of the righteous and chosen’ and Enoch, the righteous scribe, must be considered their prototype.” He further suggests that it is possible that these people were, or at least included in their number, scribes who were familiar with a wide range of ancient lore and who wrote books in the name of Enoch.

Enoch as the Heavenly Priest

Enmeduranki’s priestly office, which is only implicitly hinted at in the text from Nincveh, finds its possible Enochic counterpart in the priestly role of the seventh patriarch. In contrast to Enmeduranki’s appointments in the earthly sanctuary Eabbarra, the Enochic tradition shifts emphasis from the earthly to the celestial locale in depicting the seventh antediluvian hero, not in his terrestrial priestly role, but in the role associated with the heavenly temple. This role is attested with varying degrees of clarity by early Enochic traditions found in the Book of the Watchers, the Book of Dream Visions, and the book of Jubilees. Enoch’s affiliations with the priestly office in the aforementioned texts can be seen as the gradual evolution from the implicit hints of his heavenly priesthood in the early materials to a more overt recognition and description of his celestial sacerdotal function in the later ones. While later Enochic traditions attested in Jubilees unambiguously point to Enoch’s priestly role, referring to his incense sacrifice in the celestial sanctuary, the earlier associations of the patriarch with the heavenly temple hinted at in the Book of the Watchers take the form of rather enigmatic depictions. A certain amount of exegetical work is therefore required to discern the proper meaning of these initial associations of the patriarch with the celestial sanctuary.

Martha Himmelfarb’s research helps us better understand Enoch’s possi-

ble connections with the celestial sanctuary in the Book of the Watchers, which depicts the ascension of the seventh antediluvian patriarch to the throne of glory as a visitation of the heavenly temple. En 149:18 reads:

And I proceeded until I came near to a wall (qām) which was built of hailstones, and a tongue of fire surrounded it, and it began to make me afraid. And I went into the tongue of fire and came near to a large house (bēt ‘ābēy) which was built of hailstones, and the wall of that house (was) like a mosaic (made) of hailstones, and its floor (was) snow. Its roof (was) like the path of the stars and flashes of lightning, and among them (were) fiery Cherubim, and their heaven (was like) water. And (there was) a fire burning around its wall, and its door was ablaze with fire. And I went into that house, and (it was) hot as fire and cold as snow, and there was neither pleasure nor life in it. Fear covered me and trembling, I fell on my face. And I saw in the vision, and behold, another house, which was larger than the former, and all its doors (were) open before me, and (it was) built of a tongue of fire. And in everything it so excelled in glory and splendor and size that I am unable to describe to you its glory and its size. And its floor (was) fire, and above (were) lightning and the path of the stars, and its roof also (was) a burning fire. And I looked and I saw in it a high throne, and its appearance (was) like ice and its surrounds like the shining sun and the sound of Cherubim.

Commenting on this passage, Himmelfarb draws the readers’ attention to the description of the celestial edifices that Enoch encounters in his approach to the throne. She notes that the Ethiopic text reports that, to reach God’s throne, the patriarch passes through three celestial constructions: a wall, an outer house, and an inner house. The Greek version of this narrative mentions a house instead of a wall. Himmelfarb observes that “more clearly in the Greek,


but also in the Ethiopic this arrangement echoes the structure of the earthly temple with its vestibule (אֹהֶל), sanctuary (הֵיכָל), and the Holy of Holies (וֹלָה).

God’s throne is located in the innermost chamber of this heavenly structure and is represented by a throne of cherubim (14:18). It can be seen as a heavenly counterpart to the cherubim found in the Holy of Holies in the Jerusalem temple. In drawing parallels between the descriptions of the heavenly temple in the Book of the Watchers and the features of the earthly sanctuary, Himmelfarb observes that the fiery cherubim Enoch sees on the ceiling of the first house (Ethiopic) or middle house (Greek) of the heavenly structure represent not the cherubim of the divine throne, but images that recall the figures on the hangings on the wall of the tabernacle mentioned in Exod 26:1, 31; 36:8, 35 or possibly the figures that, according to 1 Kings 6:29, 2 Chron 3:7, and Ezek 41:15-26, were engraved on the walls of the earthly temple.

Several comments must be made about the early traditions and sources that may lie behind the descriptions of the upper sanctuary in 1 En 14. Scholars observe that the idea of heaven as a temple was not invented by the author of the Book of the Watchers; the concept of the heavenly temple as a celestial counterpart of the earthly sanctuary was widespread in the ancient Near East and appears in a number of biblical sources. Students of Jewish priestly traditions have observed that the existence of such a conception of the heavenly sanctuary appears to become increasingly important in times of religious crises, when the earthly sanctuaries were either destroyed or defiled by improper rituals or priestly successions.

Returning to the analysis of 1 En 14, one must examine the motif of the servants of the heavenly sanctuary depicted in that text. Himmelfarb argues that the priests of the heavenly temple in the Book of the Watchers appear to be represented by angels, since the author of the text depicts them as the ones who are “standing before God’s throne in the heavenly temple.” In her opinion, such identification can also be implicitly supported by the motif of intercession, which represents “a central priestly task.” Himmelfarb also points to the possibility that in the Book of the Watchers the patriarch himself in the course of his ascent becomes a priest, similarly to the angels. In this perspective the angelic status of patriarch and his priestly role are viewed as mutually interconnected. Himmelfarb stresses that “the author of the Book of the Watchers claims angelic status for Enoch through his service in the heavenly temple” since “the ascent shows him passing through the outer court of the temple and the sanctuary to the door of the Holy of Holies, where God addresses him with his own mouth.”

George Nickelsburg’s earlier research on the temple symbolism in 1 En 14 provides important additional details relevant to this discussion. Nickelsburg argues that Enoch’s active involvement in the vision of the Lord’s throne, when he passes through the chambers of the celestial sanctuary, might indicate that the author(s) of the Book of the Watchers perceived him as a servant associated with the activities in these chambers. Nickelsburg points to the fact that Enoch’s vision of the throne in the Book of the Watchers is “qualitatively different from that described in the biblical throne visions” because of the new active role of its visionary. This new, active participation of Enoch in the vision puts 1 En 14 closer to later Merkabah accounts that are different from biblical visions. Nickelsburg stresses that in the biblical throne visions, the seer is passive or, at best, his participation is reactive. But in the Merkabah accounts, Enoch appears to be actively involved in his vision. In Nickelsburg’s view, the verbal forms of the narrative (“I drew near the wall, ‘I went into that house’”) serve as further indications of the active participation of the seer in the visionary reality of the heavenly throne/temple.

Biblical visions are not completely forgotten by Enochic authors and provide an important exegetical framework for 1 En 14. Comparing the Enochic vision with Ezekiel’s account of the temple, Nickelsburg suggests that the Enochic narrative also represents a vision of the throne, but in this case the heavenly one. He argues that “the similarities to Ezek 40–48, together with other evi...
dence, indicate that Enoch is describing his ascent to the heavenly temple and his progress through its temenos to the door of the Holy of Holies, where the chariot throne of God is set. The possibility that the author of 1 En 14 was trying to describe Enoch's celestial trip as a tour through the heavenly temple can be supported, in Nickelsburg's judgment, by three significant details:

a. the "house" (14:10) of the deity is by definition a temple;
b. both 12:4 and 15:3 speak about the eternal sanctuary; and
c. the language about the fallen Watchers and the angels approaching God indicates that some of the angels are understood to be priests.

The traditions about the seventh patriarch's heavenly priesthood are not confined solely to the materials found in the Book of the Watchers, since they are attested in other materials associated with the Ethiopic Enoch, including the Animal Apocalypse. If in the Book of the Watchers Enoch's associations with the heavenly temple are clothed in ambiguous imagery, his portrait in the Animal Apocalypse does not leave any serious doubts that some of the early Enochic traditions understood the patriarch to be intimately connected with the heavenly sanctuary.

Chap. 87, vv. 3 and 4 of 1 Enoch portray the patriarch taken by three angels from the earth and raised to a high tower, where he is expected to remain until he sees the judgment prepared for the Watchers and their earthly families: "And those three who came out last took hold of me by hand, and raised me from the generations of the earth, and lifted me on to a high place, and showed me a tower (mæxefaía) high above the earth, and all the hills were lower. And one said to me: 'Remain here until you have seen everything which is coming upon these elephants and camels and asses, and upon the stars, and upon all the bulls.'"

VanderKam notes a significant detail in this description, namely, Enoch's association with a tower. He observes that this term is reserved in the Animal Apocalypse for a temple. The association of the patriarch with the tower is long-lasting, and apparently he must have spent a considerable amount of time there, since the text does not say anything about Enoch's return to the earth again until the time of judgment, so the patriarch is depicted as present in the heavenly sanctuary for most of the Animal Apocalypse.

Although the traditions about Enoch's associations with the heavenly temple in the Book of the Watchers and in the Animal Apocalypse do not refer openly to his performance of priestly duties, the account attested in Jubilees explicitly makes this reference. Jub 4:23 depicts Enoch as taken from human society and placed in Eden "for (his) greatness and honor." Jubilees then defines the Garden as a sanctuary and Enoch as one who is offering an incense sacrifice on the mountain of incense: "He burned the evening incense in the sanctuary which is acceptable before the Lord on the mountain of incense." VanderKam suggests that here Enoch is depicted as one who "performs the rites of a priest in the temple." He further observes that Enoch's priestly duties represent a new element in "Enoch's expanding portfolio."

In one further note, I must comment on particular details surrounding

---

53. James VanderKam argues that there are other indications that in Jubilees Eden was understood as a sanctuary. As an example, he points to Jub 39:13-14 which "derives the law from Lev 11 regarding when women who have given birth may enter the sanctuary from the two times when Adam and Eve, respectively, went into the garden." See VanderKam, Enoch: A Man for All Generations, 117.
56. Fletcher-Louis (All the Glory, 24) notes that in Jub 47, "the patriarch's observation of the heavens and their order so that the sons of man might know the (appointed) times of the year according to their order, with respect to each of their months . . . is knowledge of a thoroughly priestly and cultic nature."
57. Scholars point to the possible polemical nature of the patriarch's priestly role. Gabriele Boccaccini observes that "Enochians completely ignore the Mosaic Torah and the Jerusalem Temple, that is, the two tenets of the order of the universe." In his opinion, "the attribution to Enoch of priestly characteristics suggests the existence of a pure prophetic, and prefall, priesthood and disrupts the foundation of the Zadokite priesthood, which claims its origin in Aaron at the time of the exodus, in an age that, for the Enochians, was already corrupted after the angelic sin and the flood." See G. Boccaccini, Beyond the Essene Hypothesis: The Parth of the Ways between Qumran and Enochic Judaism (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1998), 74.
the depiction of Enoch's priestly duties in early Enochic lore. The Book of the
Watchers does not refer to any liturgical or sacrificial rituals of the patriarch; on
the other hand, Jubilees depicts the patriarch offering incense to God. The ab-

}ience of reference to any animal sacrificial or liturgical practice in Enoch's sac-
cerdotal duties might indicate that his office may have been understood by early
Enochic traditions from the divinatory angle, that is, as the office of oracle-
priest, practiced also by the Mesopotamian diviners who, similarly to Enoch's
preoccupation with incense, widely used the ritual of libanomancy, or "smoke
divination," a "practice of throwing cedar shavings onto a censer in order to ob-
serve the patterns and direction of the smoke." 59

Enoch's Titles in the Book of Parables

It has been mentioned that the Book of Parables endows the seventh antedilu-
vian patriarch with several roles and titles previously unknown in the early
Enochic lore. The analysis of these roles and titles is important for this investi-
gation of the evolution from Enoch to Metatron since in the Parables, for the
first time in the Enochic tradition, the patriarch is depicted as a preexistent en-
throned figure whose mission is to become an eschatological leader in the time
when the wicked of this world will be punished. The reference to this highly el-
vated office recalls the future profile of the supreme angel Metatron known in
some rabbinic and Hekhalot accounts. The relevance of the roles and titles
found in the Parables as possible formative patterns for the future roles and ti-

}les of Metatron will be discussed in the later sections of this study. For now, the
purpose of this investigation is to introduce and briefly describe these titles.

The enigmatic figure of the eschatological leader, possibly associated with
Enoch, is designated in the Parables by four titles: Righteous One (šēdeq),
Anointed One (masāḥ), Chosen One (xeruy), and Son of Man (wulda sab'). 60

59. M. S. Moore, The Balaam Traditions: Their Character and Development (Atlanta:
Scholars, 1996), 43.
60. J. C. VanderKam, "Righteous One, Messiah, Chosen One, and Son of Man in 1 En-
och 37-72," in The Messiah: Developments in Earliest Judaism and Christianity: The First
Princeton Symposium on Judaism and Christian Origins, ed. J. H. Charlesworth (Minneapolis:
Fortress, 1992), 169-70. My presentation of the titles from the Book of Parables is based on the positions
reflected in VanderKam's article. See also M. Black, "The Strange Visions of Enoch," BRev 3
(1987): 20-32; Black, "The Messiahism of the Parables of Enoch: Their Date and Contribution to
Christological Origins," in The Messiah, 145-68; I. R. Davila, "Of Methodology, Monotheism and
Metatron," in The Jewish Roots of Christological Monotheism: Papers from the St. Andrews Confer-
Lewis, ISSup 63 (Leiden: Brill, 1999), 9-12.

These designations occur with various degrees of frequency in the Ethiopic
text: while the first two titles are used rather sparingly, the other two designa-
tions are quite widespread and appear many times in the Parables.

"Righteous One"

Although the expression "Righteous One" occurs at least four times in the
Ethiopic text of the Parables, not all these references are equally valuable for the
ongoing investigation of Enoch's titles. VanderKam suggests that one of these
occurrences is "text-critically doubtful," and two of them do not constitute an
individual title but rather represent collective designations. He is confident,
however, that the single case in which "Righteous One" is used as an individual
title of the eschatological leader is 1 En 53:6. 61

1 En 53 describes the upcoming destruction of the wicked, including the
kings and the powerful of this world, by the hands of the angels of punishment.
In 53:6-7 an eschatological figure of great significance appears: the text applies
two titles, "Righteous One" and "Chosen One," to this figure: "And after this the
Righteous (šēdeq) and Chosen One (xeruy) will cause the house of his congre-
gation to appear; from then on, in the name of the Lord of Spirits, they will not
be hindered. And before him these mountains will not be (firm) like the earth,
and the hills will be like a spring of water; and the righteous will have rest from
the ill-treatment of the sinners." 62 The title "Chosen One" will be examined in a
later section. First I direct my attention to "Righteous One."

It is significant for this investigation of the provenance of the Enochic ti-
}itles that this title appears to be rooted in biblical traditions. Scholars have
suggested that its possible provenance is Isa 53:11. 63 In this text the epithet "the
righteous one" is applied to the servant of the Lord: "the righteous one, my ser-
vant, shall make many righteous, and he shall bear their iniquities." VanderKam
points out that in the Parables the title is never used alone in application to an
eschatological figure; it is found only in conjunction with another title, "Cho-
}sen One." 64 This conjunction serves as a significant clue that in the Parables all
four titles of the elevated messianic character are closely interconnected.

64. VanderKam, "Righteous One," 170.
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Chosen One in the Parables paints a picture of a highly elevated celestial being. This being apparently has his own throne in the celestial realm since one of the passages, 4:53-4, depicts him as the one who has been installed on the throne of glory: “On that day the Chosen One (laxeriy) will sit on the throne of glory, and will choose their works, and their resting-places will be without number; and their spirits within them will grow strong when they see my Chosen one (laxeriyya) and those who appeal to my holy and glorious name. And on that day I will cause my Chosen One (laxeriy) to dwell among them, and I will transform heaven and make it an eternal blessing and light.” The significant detail in this description is that the Chosen One was set on his throne of glory by the Lord of Spirits (61:8). From this elevated seat he will then judge Asael and the angels associated with this rebellious leader (55:4).

As with the previous two, this title appears to rely on imagery drawn from biblical materials. Scholars point to its possible roots in Isa 41:9, 42:1-3:10, where this designation is applied to the servant of the Lord.

"Son of Man"

This title is formulated in the Parables with three different Ethiopian expressions. It appears multiple times and can be found in 4:62, 3:4; 48:2; 62:5, 7, 9, 14; 63:11; 69:26, 27, 29 (twice); 70:11; 71:4; 71:17. The profile of the “Son of Man” as an elevated celestial being recalls the figure of the Chosen One analyzed in the previous section. As with the Chosen One, Son of Man is a character associated with the celestial secrets who also has a throne of glory (62:5; 69:27, 29) from which he will judge sinners.

Scholars have observed that some features of the Son of Man traditions in the Parables recall details found in Dan 7, where one can find a messianic figure

"Anointed One"

Another title associated with the elevated hero of the Parables is “Anointed One.” This title occurs twice in the book, in chaps. 48 and 52. In 1 En 48:10 it is introduced in the eschatological context in which the wicked of this world represented by rulers of the earth will fall down before the Son of Man but “there will be no one who will take them with his hands and raise them” because they “denied the Lord of Spirits and his Messiah (‘anointed one’).”66 Scholars have observed that the author of this passage appears to be relying on biblical terminology, more precisely, on the expressions from Ps 2:2 that refer to rulers and kings of the earth taking “counsel together, against the Lord and his anointed.” Here again, as with “Righteous One,” the author(s) of the Parables prefers to seek the background of the hero’s titles not in Mesopotamian but in biblical sources.

The second occurrence of this title is in 1 En 52. The patriarch, carried off by a whirlwind, beholds the secrets of heaven, which include several mountains associated with particular metals: a mountain of iron, and a mountain of copper, and a mountain of silver, and a mountain of gold, and a mountain of soft metal, and a mountain of lead.”68 Enoch is further instructed by his angels interpreters that these mountains are predestined to “serve the authority of his Messiah (‘anointed one’).”

"Chosen One"

This title is used many times in the Parables, designating again, as in the previous two designations, an eschatological character.69 The description of the
designated as "one like a son of man." The parallels with the Daniel "son of man" can be illustrated by reference to 1 En 46:1-4, where the title is introduced and then repeated several times:

And there I saw one who had a head of days, and his head (was) white like wool; and with him (there was) another, whose face had the appearance of a man, and his face (was) full of grace, like one of the holy angels. And I asked one of the holy angels who went with me, and showed me all the secrets, about that Son of Man (valda sab'), who he was, and whence he was, (and) why he went with the Head of Days. And he answered me and said to me: "This is the Son of Man (valda sab') who has righteousness, and with whom righteousness dwells; he will reveal all the treasures of that which is secret, for the Lord of Spirits has chosen him, and through uprightness his lot has surpassed all before the Lord of Spirits for ever. And this Son of Man (valda sab') whom you have seen will rouse the kings and the powerful from their resting-places, and the strong from their thrones, and will loose the reins of the strong, and will break the teeth of the sinners."76

In this passage an enigmatic character appears whose designation as "the head of days" recalls the Daniel figure of the "ancient of days."

The significant feature of the Son of Man's profile in the Parables is that the text understands this character as preexistent, even possibly a divine being who received his name before the time of creation. One sees this in 1 En 48:2-7:

And at that hour that Son of Man (valda sab') was named in the presence of the Lord of Spirits, and his name (was named) before the Head of Days. Even before the sun and the constellations were created, before the stars of heaven were made, his name was named before the Lord of Spirits. He will be a staff to the righteous and the holy, that they may lean on him and not fall, and he (will be) the light of the nations, and he will be the hope of those who grieve in their hearts. All those who dwell upon the dry ground will fall down and worship before him, and they will bless, and praise, and celebrate with psalms the name of the Lord of Spirits. And because of this he was chosen and hidden before him before the world was created, and forever.77

75. Sutter (Tradition and Composition, 26) observes that "in the Parables of Enoch, 'that Son of Man' appears largely in the context of an exegetical tradition based on Dan. 7:9-14 and derives his judicial function from 'the Elect one' as this tradition is used to amplify the latter title."
77. Knibb, Ethiopic Book of Enoch, 1134; 2133-34.
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One can see that, as with the previous titles from the Parables, biblical traditions play a pivotal role in inspiring the authors of this book in their portrayal of the Son of Man. For such inspiration they go not only to the prominent account found in the book of Daniel but also to other biblical materials. VanderKam observes that the reference to the fact that the Son of Man was in God's mind before the creation recalls the passage from Isa 42:2. In this text the servant of the Lord defines himself in similar terms, saying that "the Lord called me before I was born, while I was in my mother's womb he named me."78 VanderKam argues that "there is no mistaking the author's appeal to the servant of the Lord in 2 Isaiah, in which he is to be a light to the nations (42:6; 49:6)."79

Interdependence of the Four Titles and Their Identification with Enoch in the Parables

An important feature in the four titles is that they seem to be used interchangeably in the Parables and appear to be referring to one composite figure. Nickelsburg notes that "the identification of these figures with one another is understandable; for all their differences, their characteristics and functions can be seen to be compatible and complementary."80 Indeed, as has been shown, the combination of the titles Righteous One and Chosen One in 1 En 53:6-7 indicates that they were used here for the same protagonist. The same interchangeability is observable in the titles Son of Man and Chosen One. Here, however, the equivalency is established not through the combination of the titles but through their separation. Scholars previously observed that "Son of Man" and "Chosen One," the two most widely used titles in the Parables, always occur in separate sections of the text, and never together.81 Morna Hooker's research demonstrates that, while chaps. 38-45 use "Chosen One," chaps. 46-48 operate with "Son of Man." This pattern continues further as the material from 1 En 49-62a applies the title Chosen One, while 62b-71 chooses to use "Son of Man."82 The separation of these two titles applies to

78. VanderKam, Enoch: A Man for All Generations, 139.
79. VanderKam, Enoch: A Man for All Generations, 139.
82. Morna Hooker (Son of Man, 34) observes that "two sources can be distinguished, one speaking of the 'Son of Man' and the other of the 'Elect One,' and in spite of the fact that schol-
indicate that the author(s) or editor(s) of the Parables perceived them to be interchangeable.

A large group of scholars believe that all four eschatological titles found in the Parables refer to one individual, namely, the patriarch Enoch himself, who in 1 En 71 is identified with the "Son of Man." The crucual issue for the possible identification of the four titles with the seventh antediluvian patriarch is the status of chaps. 70-71. Some scholars believe that these chapters might represent later interpolation(s) and do not belong to the original text of the Book of Parables; they note that these two chapters do not appropriately correspond with the tripartite structure of the Parables. The content of these chapters also raises some critical questions. First, 1 En 70-71 exhibits repetitiveness that might indicate the attempt to expand the original material. Second, for a long time students of the Enochic traditions were puzzled by the fact that the Son of Man, who in the previous chapters of the Parables has been distinguished from Enoch, suddenly becomes identified in 1 En 71 with the patriarch. This identification seems to contradict the rest of the text since it appears impossible for a seer to fail to recognize himself in the vision. Collins points to the uniqueness of such a misidentification in the Jewish apocalyptic literature, where a visionary would scarcely fail to recognize himself in such an autovision. Moreover, in view of the preexistent nature of the Son of Man in 48:2-7, it is difficult to reconcile this character with the figure of the seventh patriarch who was born from human parents in the antediluvian era.

Several explanations have been proposed to resolve this puzzling situation. Scholars have mostly followed them in regarding the material in its present form as a mosaic, discussion of the figure of the 'Son of Man' has not generally drawn any distinction between these two titles, but has regarded passages referring to the 'Elect One' and those which speak of the 'Son of Man' as descriptive of the same figure. 83. Scholars previously observed the significance of this identification for future Metatron developments. Alan Segal points out that "this is an extraordinarily important event, as it underlines the importance of mystical transformation between the adept and the angelic vice-regent of God." See A. E. Segal, "The Risen Christ and the Angelic Mediator Figures in Light of Qumran," in Jesus and the Dead Sea Scrolls, ed. J. H. Charlesworth (Garden City, N.Y.: Doubleday, 1992), 905.


VanderKam ("Righteous One," 177) stresses that "the status of chs. 70-71 is... absolutely crucial to one's understanding of the phrase 'son of man' and eventually of all the other epithets."


89. It is important to note that in the Parables, the Son of Man is depicted as seated on the throne of glory (1 En 6:25; 6:29). J. E. Fossum (The Image, 135) observes that "in the 'Similitudes' the 'Elect One' or 'Son of Man' who is identified as the patriarch Enoch, is enthroned upon the 'throne of glory.' It 'glory' does not qualify the throne but its occupant, Enoch is actually identified with the Glory of God." Fossum further concludes that "the 'Similitudes of Enoch' present an early parallel to the targumic description of Jacob being seated upon the 'throne of glory.'"

90. VanderKam, "Righteous One," 182-83.

91. One must add that the later Hechalot titles and offices of Enoch-Metatron also appear to maintain a certain independence from the imagery of the exalted figures found in the Hebrew Bible. Peter Schäfer observes that the Hechalot literature appears to be basically inde-
Second, the peculiar feature of the titles found in the Parables is that they can be found only in this part of Ethiopic Enoch. Other booklets of this Enochic composition, such as the Astronomical Book, the Book of the Watchers, the Book of Dream Visions, and the Epistle of Enoch, do not refer to these titles of the patriarch. It is also curious that other early Enochic materials, including the Genesis Apocryphon, Jubilees, Book of Giants, and 2 Enoch, do not provide any references either to these titles or to the features associated with them. For example, early Enochic booklets are silent about Enoch’s enthronement on the seat of glory. This absence of allusions and cross-references with other Enochic writings appears to be quite puzzling and unusual since the information about other titles not found in the Parables, such as the scribe, the expert in the secrets, the priest, is typically employed as sets of recurring motifs supported by various texts, including the various booklets of 1 Enoch, Jubilees, the Genesis Apocryphon, the Book of Giants, and 2 Enoch. It is also baffling that the later rabbinic and Hechalot materials are silent about the Enochic titles found in the Book of Parables. James Davila’s research points to the fact that the titles found in the Parables, like Messiah, Son of Man, and Righteous One, are dropped almost entirely92 in the Merkabah tradition.93 This issue will constitute a special topic of the discussion in the following sections. Finally, another puzzling characteristic of the Parables’ titles must be mentioned. In the ambiguous identification of Enoch with the “Son of Man” depicted in 1 En 71, one 


92. Suter (Tradition and Composition, 16) argues that Enoch-Metatron’s identification with “an elect one” (7443) in Synag. 59 (3 En 6:3) might be related to his title in the Parables. He observes that “while it does not have the messianic sense that it does in the Parables of Enoch, there is a remote possibility of a connection between its use in the Parables as the major messianic title and in 3 En 6:3.” Greenfeld does not specifically relate the identification of Enoch as the Son of Man in the Parables to Enoch-Metatron in 3 Enoch, but he may have had it in mind.” H. Odeberg, “The messianic titles of the Elect One and the Son of Man in 3 Enoch are transferred to Metatron in 1 Enoch. The differences are, however, greater than the resemblances.” See H. Odendorf, 3 Enoch (Cambridge: University of Cambridge Press, 1926; New York: Kraus, 1973), 147. On the connections between the Parables and 3 Enoch, see also M. Blaik, “Eschatology of the Similitudes of Enoch,” JTS (1952): 1-10, esp. 6-7.

93. J. R. Davila, “Melchizedek, the ‘Youth,’ and Jesus,” in The Dead Sea Scrolls as Background to Postbiblical Judaism and Early Christianity: Papers from an International Conference at St. Andrews in 2001, ed. J. K. Davila, STJ 40 (Leiden: Brill, 2003), 264. Davila observes that “in 3 Enoch — which has a close relationship of some sort with the Similitudes, whether literal, oral, or both — Enoch’s role changes once again. His titles in the Similitudes — Son of Man, Messiah, Righteous One, Chosen — are dropped almost entirely (only the last is applied to him once).” See Davila, 264.

finds a unique way of introducing this Enochic title that never occurs with Enoch’s other titles. In early Enochic booklets the designations are usually introduced through the gradual unfolding of the patriarch’s activities pertaining to the particular title. In contrast, the Book of Parables refuses to depict in any way Enoch’s participation in various offices that stand behind his titles. Nothing is said about the patriarch’s messianic mission or his role in judging the mighty ones of the world. Enoch is rather depicted as a mere beholder of these deeds, which the text unambiguously associates with one or another eschatological figure. He is only named as a “Son of Man,” who in no way attempts to execute the offices pertaining to this and other titles.

Postscript

One of the important questions that William Adler raises in his stimulating response to my essay is how the potentially promising path in the Enoch trajectory attesting to the great exaltation of its hero ends up in a dead end.94 Why do the exalted Enochic titles reflected in the Book of Parables not loom large in the later Metatron developments? What happened?

I do not have answers for these questions, but it seems to me that to approach these issues, another investigation of the hero’s roles and titles is required, which will be quite different from the one reflected in my essay. This new study should be done not from the temporal angle of the past of the Enochic tradition but from its future.

When I started my research, one particular methodological question overwhelmed me: What phenomena can serve as a set of reliable indicators that would allow us to detect how and when the subtle and elusive transition from Enoch to Metatron, from the apocalyptic hero to the hero of the Hechalot lore, from a diviner to a second god, occurred? In my book I attempted to investigate one such possible set of characteristics by focusing on the celestial roles and titles of Enoch-Metatron, which play an equally important role in early Enochic accounts and the Hechalot materials. Each of these traditions operates with a different set of roles and titles, which make them good indicators of the transition from the Enoch tradition to the Metatron tradition. Thus, the early Enochic tradition emphasizes such roles or titles of the seventh antediluvian patriarch as diviner, scribe, sage, visionary, witness of the divine judgment in the generation of the flood, and envoy to the Watchers/Giants. Later Jewish

94. See below, W. Adler, “A Dead End in the Enochic Trajectory: A Response to Andrei Orlov.”
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mysticism reveals Enoch-Metatron in a different set of roles and titles, depicting him as the Prince of the Torah, the Prince of the Divine Presence, the Measurer of the Lord, the Prince of the World, and the Youth. Only a few titles are common to both traditions. But even in the roles that seem to be shared by both traditions, such as Enoch-Metatron's priestly role or his role as an expert in the divine secrets, one can see a significant evolution of the offices and their different functions in the Enokhic and the Metatron traditions.

My investigation of Metatron's roles and titles demonstrates that Hekhalot and rabbinic materials dealing with the Metatron lore contain two clusters of his roles and titles. The first cluster appears to be connected with those already known from early Enokhic traditions. These offices, in fact, represent the continuation and, in many ways, consummation of the roles of the seventh antediluvian hero. In this sense the transformation of Enoch into the principal angel Metatron represents something of a climax of the earlier Enokhic traditions. In my analysis I referred to this cluster of offices and designations as the "old" roles and titles. This cluster embraces the activities of Metatron in such offices as the heavenly scribe, the expert in the divine secrets, the heavenly high priest, and the mediator. All these roles can be seen as the development of the familiar conceptual counterparts found in early Enokhic and Mesopotamian traditions about the seventh antediluvian hero. Yet, despite the recognizable similarities to these early prototypes, the roles and titles found in the Metatron tradition represent in some cases a substantial reshaping and development of the earlier Enokhic sources.

The second cluster of roles and titles of Metatron embraces those that do not occur in 1 Enoch, Jubilees, and the Book of Giants. In the Merkabah tradition Enoch-Metatron appears in several new roles previously unknown in these early Enokhic materials. This group of designations and offices, in contrast to the old roles and titles, I designated as the "new" roles and titles. The offices appearing in this new cluster are related to such designations of Metatron as the "Youth," the "Prince of the World," the "Measurer/Measure of the Lord," the "Prince of the Divine Presence," the "Prince of the Torah," and the "Lesser YHWH."

As I researched this transition from Enoch to Metatron, it became more and more clear to me that the roles and titles found in the Book of Parables do not represent a crucial link between the roles and titles of Enoch and the roles and titles of Metatron. Thus a glance at the roles and titles of the seventh antediluvian hero in the Parables from the point of view of the Metatron tradition, as with the earlier Enokhic texts, indicates discontinuity rather than continuity. It is surprising that both temporal perspectives demonstrate the dissimilarities in the roles and titles of the Parables, which make the Parables a rather odd link in the chain of the Enoch-Metatron tradition. So the examination of the conceptual development of Enoch-Metatron roles and titles might help to clarify the difference between the influences that genuinely contributed to the gradual evolution from Enoch to Metatron and other currents in the Enokhic tradition(s) that, despite their promising appearance, did not directly impact this transition.

However, another Enochic text of the Second Temple period seems more promising in its formative value for the later Metatron developments than the Book of Parables. This text is 2 (Slavonic) Enoch, a Jewish document traditionally dated by scholars in the first century C.E., before the destruction of the Second Jerusalem temple. My inquiry into the narrative of 2 Enoch persuaded me that the conceptual developments pertaining to the roles and titles of its principal character occupy an intermediary stage between early Enokhic and Metatron traditions. The evolution of the titles and roles within 2 Enoch includes two distinct processes.

One of these processes is connected with the emergence of a new imagery that demonstrates a marked resemblance to the roles and titles prominent in the Metatron lore, including the offices of the Youth, the Prince of the Presence, the Prince of the World, God's Vice-Regent, and the Measurer of the Lord. Although some designations attested in the Slavonic apocalypse, such as the Governor of the World, the Servant of the Face, among others, often do not correspond precisely to the later titles of Metatron, the peculiar features of these roles and activities show amazing similarities with their later counterparts found in the Hekhalot and Shi'ur Qomah materials.

The second process detected in 2 Enoch embraces an advancement of the traditional designations and offices of the seventh antediluvian hero toward their later Merkabah forms. The Slavonic apocalypse demonstrates several remarkable transitions in roles and titles. Let me briefly mention a few:

1. The transition from the office of the mantic diviner who receives his revelations in mantic dreams to the role of the seer who has his visions in the awakened state, which recalls Metatron's bodily ascent in Sefer Hekhalot and his bodily transformation into the luminous extent.
2. The transition from the priestly imagery of the hero detected in the early Enochic literature toward a more complex sacerdotal office, which includes Enoch's liturgical role as the leader of the heavenly worship prominent in Hekhalot and Shi'ur Qomah literature.
3. The transition from the early scribal imagery found in 1 Enoch, Jubilees, and the Book of Giants to the imagery of the scribe who has a seat in heaven, which demonstrates remarkable similarities with Metatron's scri-
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bal profile in the Hagigah Babli, where he is depicted as a scribe who has a
cast.
4. The transition from Enoch’s role as the measurer of the celestial bodies
and calendar in the Astronomical Book to Enoch-Metatron’s office as the
Measurer and Measurement of the Lord’s Body, his Shi’ur Qomah.
5. The transition from the position of the intercessor for the Watchers and
Giants prominent in the early Enochic circle toward the new role of the
redeemer and the expiator of the sin of the proplast, similar to
Metatron’s functions in Sefer Hekhalot 48C (Synopsis §72) and the Zohar.
6. The transition from the office of the mediator of knowledge and judgment
prominent in early Enochic lore to the new role as mediator of the
divine Presence.

It must be noted that the new and old roles and titles found in 2 Enoch do
not represent interpolations from the later Hekhalot macroforms, since these
conceptions exist in the Slavonic text in their very early rudimentary forms,
which sometimes only distantly allude to their later Hekhalot counterparts.
These constructs are thus markedly different from the later Merkabah variants
by their early pseudepigraphic form, which shows their close connection with
the imagery and the conceptual world of Second Temple Judaism.

A Dead End in the Enoch Trajectory:
A Response to Andrei Orlov

William Adler

Andrei Orlov’s essay in the current volume makes up one part of a longer study
of the evolution of Enoch from a relatively obscure character in the Hebrew Bi-
ble into the complex and multifaceted figure associated with Metatron in the
Merkabah literature.1 Here Orlov compares Enoch’s “titles and functions” in
the Book of Parables with the other sections of 1 Enoch.2

The main finding of Orlov’s essay is that, as in other cases, the Book of
Parables is the outlier. Elsewhere in 1 Enoch, Enoch is diviner, primeval sage, ex-
pert in secrets, scribe, mediator, and heavenly priest. And the titles applied to
him bear some discernible relationship to at least one of these functions
(mainly that of scribe). In the Book of Parables everything is different. Here the
titles “Righteous One,” “Anointed One,” “Chosen One” and “Son of Man” refer
to a preexistent enthroned figure, only ambiguously connected with the patri-
arch himself. Unlike the titles found in the other parts of 1 Enoch, they do not
appear to originate in Mesopotamian tradition. Rather they are connected with
motifs from Jewish scriptures. Used almost interchangeably, these titles do not
bear any clearly identifiable connection with the roles Enoch plays in the Para-
participation in various offices that stand behind his titles.” Enoch is called
“Son of Man” in the Parables, but as Orlov points out, he “in no way attempts

1. See A. A. Orlov, The Enoch-Metatron Tradition, TSAI 107 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck,
2005).
2. See above, A. A. Orlov, “Roles and Titles of the Seventh Antediluvian Hero in the Para-
bles of Enoch: A Departure from the Traditional Pattern?” My comments in this response, as
well as all my quotations from Orlov’s work, refer specifically to this essay.
to execute the offices pertaining to this and other titles.” Perhaps Orlov’s most intriguing finding is what he does not find, namely, a connection between the Enoch of the Parables and the exalted Enoch found in the later traditions about Enoch-Metatron.

Orlov’s study demonstrates that the examination of titles and functions in Enoch can yield interesting and valuable results. Because Enoch’s various titles and roles are often interconnected and overlapping, rigid systematization runs the risk of distorting more than it clarifies. But Orlov is aware of this problem, and his method is not artificial, misleading, or unduly repetitive. At places it remains a bit unclear as to when we can actually speak about a “title.” This is less of a problem in the Parables. In this part of Enoch, recurring titles such as “Son of Man” seem to presuppose a fixed tradition — especially since, as Orlov observes, they are not connected with any particular function. But what about the title in the rest of the Enoch texts? Here, Enoch is variously called “scribe of righteousness,” “scribe of distinction,” even “most skilled scribe.” “Scribe of righteousness” may be a formal title. It appears often, and is even used to describe Enoch in Testament of Abraham 1.12:2-4 [rec. B]. But in the other cases, are we speaking of formal titles or something more fluid than that (maybe just a description of what he actually does)? Since the categories of title and function are important to Orlov’s approach, they might need additional clarification.

Orlov suggests that traditions about the Mesopotamian hero Enmeduranki were one of the most formative influences on the roles and titles of Enoch in the earlier portions of 1 Enoch. For guidance on the cuneiform sources about Enmeduranki and their connection with Enoch, he acknowledges the work of other scholars, especially James VanderKam and Helge Kvanvig.3 The parallels between Enoch and Enmeduranki are in many cases striking: above all, Enmeduranki, the seventh Sumerian king, is, like Enoch, an expert in the divinatory arts. But in my view the extent and nature of this influence still need to be sorted out.

Certainly Enoch is not the only biblical figure molded into the image of the Mesopotamian sage. Orlov mentions as a parallel the figure of Daniel, represented in the first six chapters of his book as a member of a guild of sages in Babylonia. But as Orlov points out, the assimilation of Enoch to ancient Near Eastern counterparts is different from the case of Daniel. In the representation


6. Cf. Jub. 4:7 (Enoch’s discoveries), 83 (on the postdiluvian discovery of the wicked teachings of the Watchers by Cainan, one of the forefathers of the Chaldeans).

7. Collins (Seers, Sibyls, and Sages, 46) states that although “the competitive aspect is not explicit in the case of Enoch . . . [it] is implied by the comparison with Enmeduranki.”
noting that Enmeduranki and Enoch play several overlapping roles. Some of Enmeduranki's functions (for example, diviner, expert in secrets, and mediator) are described in explicit language. Others are not so well defined, however. Orlow acknowledges, for example, that Enmeduranki's association with priesthood is only "implicitly hinted at in the text from Nineveh." The same observation applies to Enoch's own priestly persona. Enoch's ascension to the heavenly throne may conjure up images of the Jerusalem temple, but Enoch himself has no clearly defined sacrificial or liturgical roles.

To bolster Enoch's connection with the Mesopotamian diviner-priest, Orlow cites a passage in Jubilees, in which Enoch burns the "incense of the sanctuary" in Eden (Jub 4:25). Because Enoch is not said to perform sacrifice, Orlow proposes that Enoch is understood here as an "oracle-priest," similar to the Mesopotamian diviners who observed patterns of smoke from cedar shavings thrown upon a censer. But other early patriarchs in Jubilees perform these same priestly functions. Jub 3:27 states, for example, that when Adam went forth from Eden, he offered "frankincense, galbanum, and stacte and spices." And when Noah leaves the ark, he makes an animal offering (cf. Gen 8:20) and burns incense (Jub 6:3). We need not look beyond Jubilees itself to understand why the book represents Enoch, Adam, and Noah burning incense in a sanctuary or at an altar. According to Exod 30:34-36, God commanded Moses to "take sweet spices, stacte, and onycha, and galbanum, sweet spices with pure frankincense ..., and put part of it before the testimony in the tent of meeting where I shall meet with you." Because the author of Jubilees makes a point of establishing that even the earliest biblical patriarchs observed the Mosaic law, it was necessary to demonstrate that Enoch, like Adam and Noah, made an incense offering as well.

In the Enoch literature, Enoch's role as scribe is much less ambiguous than his role as priest. His scribal duties are both plentiful and the source of many of his titles. In his discussion of the scribal functions and titles of Enoch, Orlow observes that, although Enoch's function as scribe is amply documented in the Astronomical Book, he is never actually described here as a "scribe." The fact that the later parts of the Enoch literature regularly refer to him in this way suggests that the conferring of the title of scribe upon him is a secondary development in the tradition. It is interesting, however, that Enoch's role as scribe gets so much attention. His titles tend mainly to focus on his scribal functions. And these functions extend into many domains. In the Astronomical Book he records celestial secrets given to him by Uriel. He also records petitions from the terrestrial sphere, in this case from the Watchers and their offspring. "Enoch the scribe" is also one of the most enduring elements of the Enoch tradition. The cultic aspect of Enoch's scribal activities in the later Enoch tradition is especially notable. 2 En 22 describes how, for example, after his initiation as a scribe, he is commissioned with the task of recording heavenly mysteries.

Following his general method, Orlow links Enoch's role as scribe to Mesopotamian antecedents. But in the text from Nineveh that Orlow examines, Enmeduranki is never actually identified as a scribe. This functional asymmetry between Enoch and Enmeduranki suggests that a full understanding of Enoch's roles and titles requires us to look beyond Mesopotamian sources. Orlow himself suggests one alternative possibility in his discussion of "Enoch the scribe." He cites with favor a comment by John Collins regarding the social profile of the authors of this literature. "(It) is possible that these people 'were, or at least included in their number, scribes who were familiar with a wide range of ancient lore and who wrote books in the name of Enoch.'" Here, as elsewhere, attempts to understand Enoch's functions and titles may benefit from supplementing the analysis of Near Eastern parallels with reconstruction of the social world of the Enoch texts, especially as pertains to the scribal class.

As Orlow has clearly demonstrated, relatively late sources preserve and elaborate features of Enoch's older identity as mediator, scribe, and expert in divine secrets. They also confer upon him new titles, consistent with his increasingly exalted status: "Prince of the Presence," "Prince of the World," and "Governor of the World." If we agree that chaps. 70-71 are an integral part of the Parables, then the identification of Enoch as "Righteous One," "Anointed One," "Chosen One," and "Son of Man" in this part of Enoch would seem to put us well on the road to his exaltation in the later Enoch-Metatron tradition. But the Book of Parables disappoints. How, then, should we treat a work that seems so detached from both the rest of 1 Enoch and the later Enoch tradition?

The titles in the Book of Parables may be "a departure from the traditional pattern" of names and functions found in the Enoch literature. But they are hardly untraditional. As Orlow notes, with the exception of the Parables, the titles of Enoch reveal little or no dependence on biblical imagery and motifs. This is not the case with the Parables, however. Enoch's titles in the Parables are firmly rooted in imagery from the book of Isaiah, Ps 2, and the book of Daniel. Since these titles are not organically connected with anything that Enoch actually does in the Parables, the author apparently applied to Enoch a preexisting set of titles, already relatively fixed in the biblical tradition. Here, then, we have a case of an author "mainstreaming" the figure of Enoch by bringing him into conformity with imagery and titles better known from canonical sources.

merous examples of the same thing can be found in other Jewish and Christian apocalypses. But if, as seems likely, the application to Enoch of titles more familiar from biblical sources was intended to reshape and domesticate the tradition about him, it does not seem to have succeeded. As Orlov notes, the titles found in the Parables are "dropped almost entirely in the Merkabah tradition." As with the older tradition, the offices of Enoch-Metatron preserve their independence from the imagery applied to exalted figures in the Bible. A potentially promising path in the "Enoch trajectory" ends up a dead end.

9. For one example of such domestication, see the Christian apocalypse known as the Shepherd of Hermas. The document retains the form of the apocalypse, but its contents consist mainly of exhortation to repentance and ethical instruction. For discussion, see P. Vielhauer and G. Strecker, in New Testament Apocrypha, ed. E. Hennecke and W. Schneemelcher, rev. ed. (Louisville: Westminster, 1992), 2592-602.

10. Orlov also refers the reader to James Davila’s study, “Melchizedek, the ‘Youth’ and Jesus,” in The Dead Sea Scrolls as Background to Postbiblical Judaism and the Early Christianity: Papers from an International Conference at St. Andrews in 2001, ed. J. R. Davila, STDF 46 (Leiden: Brill, 2003), 264.

Exegetical Notes on Cosmology in the Parables of Enoch

Jonathan Ben-Dov

The first and the third parables in the Book of Parables (1 En 37-71) contain several passages on cosmology, mainly knowledge of astronomy and meteorology: 41:3-8; 43:1-4; 59:1-22; 69:13-25. R. H. Charles, who raised the possibility that those passages were late interpolations, then changed his mind, allowing the cosmological passages to be an original part of the Parables because "the wise in Israel were interested alike in ethical and cosmic questions." However, some of the passages indeed seem to interrupt their immediate context. For example, 41:3-8 breaks the logical sequence of 41:2 and 41:9. Equally, the cosmological information in 60:11-22(23?) interrupts the narrative sequence on the two creatures, 60:7-10, 23(24?)25, which is itself sometimes considered a "Noachic" interpolation in the third parable. The cosmological passages share a distinctive set of common motifs and ideas, some of them unknown from elsewhere in Enochic literature. For example, the motif of the mutual oath of


2. This was noted by Charles (Book of Enoch, 81). See above, M. A. Knibb, "The Structure and Composition of the Parables of Enoch." Generally Nickelsburg tends to solve such problems by reconstructing the suggested "original" order of the passages; see above, G. W. E. Nickelsburg, "Discerning the Structure(s) of the Enochic Book of Parables."

3. Again see the essays by Nickelsburg and Knibb in this volume.

I am indebted to Prof. Deborah Dimant for her valuable comments on this essay. The responsibility for the contents, however, lies on me alone.