1. PURPOSE
   1.1. This policy establishes the criteria and process for Exempt Determination limited IRB Review.

2. REVISIONS FROM PREVIOUS VERSION
   2.1. None

3. POLICY
   3.1. Exempt determinations are made by the ORC staff. Limited IRB reviews are conducted by members of the IRB (e.g., ORC staff), who are experienced reviewers, and have been designated by the IRB chairperson. When the research requires a HIPAA determination (i.e., waivers or alterations of the requirement for HIPAA authorization), the review will be conducted by the IRB Chair or a Chair-designated member of the IRB and may be conducted using expedited review procedures. As with all other research subject to IRB review requirements, when conducting limited IRB review the IRB has the authority to approve, require modifications in (to secure approval), or disapprove all research activities.

   3.2. Proposed changes or modifications to research determined under limited IRB review must be submitted to and approved by the IRB prior to implementation, except when necessary to eliminate apparent immediate hazards to the subject(s), in which case the change must be promptly reported to the IRB (i.e., within 10 business days).

   3.3. Continuing review is generally not required for research determined to be exempt, even when that research is subject to limited IRB review. However, the IRB may determine that continuing review is required for a particular study subject to limited IRB review, in which case it shall document the reasons for its determination in the IRB record and communicate the requirement to the investigator.

   3.4. Exempt Categories (45 C.F.R. § 46.104(d))

      3.4.1. Unless otherwise required by law or a federal agency or department, research activities in which the only involvement of human subjects will be in one or more of the following categories are exempt from the additional requirements of the revised Common Rule, except as specified.

      Note: Other than exempt category 6, these categories do not apply to research that is also FDA-regulated.
1. Research, conducted in established or commonly accepted educational settings, that specifically involves normal educational practices that are not likely to adversely impact students’ opportunity to learn required educational content or the assessment of educators who provide instruction. This includes most research on regular and special education instructional strategies, and research on the effectiveness of or the comparison among instructional techniques, curricula, or classroom management methods.

2. Research that only includes interactions involving educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures, or observation of public behavior (including visual or auditory recording) if at least one of the following criteria is met:

   (i) The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects cannot readily be ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects;

   (ii) Any disclosure of the human subjects’ responses outside the research would not reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to the subjects’ financial standing, employability, educational advancement, or reputation; or

   (iii) The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects can readily be ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects, and an IRB conducts a limited IRB review to make the determination required by § 46.111(a)(7): “When appropriate, there are adequate provisions to protect the privacy of subjects and to maintain the confidentiality of data.”

3. Research involving benign behavioral interventions in conjunction with the collection of information from an adult subject through verbal or written responses (including data entry) or audiovisual recording if the subject prospectively agrees to the intervention and information collection and at least one of the following criteria is met:

   (i) The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects cannot readily be ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects;
(ii) Any disclosure of the human subjects’ responses outside the research would not reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to the subjects' financial standing, employability, educational advancement, or reputation; or

(iii) The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects can readily be ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects, and an IRB conducts a limited IRB review to make the determination required by §___.46.111(a)(7): “When appropriate, there are adequate provisions to protect the privacy of subjects and to maintain the confidentiality of data.”

For the purpose of this provision, benign behavioral interventions are brief in duration, harmless, painless, not physically invasive, not likely to have a significant adverse lasting impact on the subjects, and the investigator has no reason to think the subjects will find the interventions offensive or embarrassing. Provided all such criteria are met, examples of such benign behavioral interventions would include having the subjects play an online game, having them solve puzzles under various noise conditions, or having them decide how to allocate a nominal amount of received cash between themselves and someone else.

If the research involves deceiving the subjects about the nature or purposes of the research, this exemption would not be applicable unless the subject authorizes the deception. Authorized deception would be prospective agreement by the subject to participate in research where the subject is informed that he or she will be unaware of or misled regarding the nature or purposes of the research. The final rule allows this type of research to occur without the requirements of informed consent because the intervention is not likely to result in harm or offense to the subject, and the subject must prospectively agree to the intervention and the data collection.

4. Secondary research for which consent is not required: Secondary research uses of identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens, if at least one of the following criteria is met:

   (i) The identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens are publicly available;
(ii) Information, which may include information about biospecimens, is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects cannot readily be ascertained directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects, the investigator does not contact the subjects, and the investigator will not re-identify subjects;

(iii) The research involves only information collection and analysis involving the investigator’s use of identifiable health information when that use is regulated under 45 CFR parts 160 and 164, subparts A and E, for the purposes of “health care operations” or “research” as those terms are defined at 45 CFR 164.501 or for “public health activities and purposes” as described under 45 CFR 164.512(b); or

(iv) The research is conducted by, or on behalf of, a federal department or agency using government-generated or government-collected information obtained for nonresearch activities, if the research generates identifiable private information that is or will be maintained on information technology that is subject to and in compliance with section 208(b) of the E-Government Act of 2002, 44 U.S.C. 3501 note, if all of the identifiable private information collected, used, or generated as part of the activity will be maintained in systems of records subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a, and, if applicable, the information used in the research was collected subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

5. Research and demonstration projects that are conducted or supported by a Federal department or agency, or otherwise subject to the approval of department or agency heads (or the approval of the heads of bureaus or other subordinate agencies that have been delegated authority to conduct the research and demonstration projects), and that are designed to study, evaluate, improve, or otherwise examine public benefit or service programs, including procedures for obtaining benefits or services under those programs, possible changes in or alternatives to those programs or procedures, or possible changes in methods or levels of payment for benefits or services under those programs. Such projects include, but are not limited to, internal studies by Federal employees, and studies under contracts or consulting arrangements, cooperative agreements, or grants. Exempt projects also include waivers of otherwise mandatory requirements using authorities such as sections 1115 and 1115A of the Social Security Act, as amended.
(i) Each Federal department or agency conducting or supporting the research and demonstration projects must establish, on a publicly accessible Federal website or in such other manner as the department or agency head may determine, a list of the research and demonstration projects that the Federal department or agency conducts or supports under this provision. The research or demonstration project must be published on this list prior to commencing the research involving human subjects.

6. Taste and food quality evaluation and consumer acceptance studies:

   (i) If wholesome foods without additives are consumed, or

   (ii) If a food is consumed that contains a food ingredient at or below the level and for a use found to be safe, or agricultural chemical or environmental contaminant at or below the level found to be safe, by the Food and Drug Administration or approved by the Environmental Protection Agency or the Food Safety and Inspection Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

3.4.2. Limitations on Exemptions

1. **Children:** Exemptions 1 and 4-8 may be applied to research involving children if the conditions of the exemption are met. Exemption 2(i) and (ii) may apply only to research activities involving educational tests or the observation of public behavior when the investigator(s) do not participate in the activities being observed. Exemption 2(iii) may not be applied to research involving children.

2. **Prisoners:** Exemptions do not apply unless the research is aimed at involving a broader subject population that only incidentally includes prisoners (§___.46.104(b)(2)).

3.5. Exempt procedures

3.5.1. Federally funded research activities that meet all applicable criteria set forth by the federal regulations (45 CFR 46.101 (b)) and involve no greater than “minimal risk” may qualify for exemption from IRB review. Non-federally funded research activities that meet all applicable criteria set forth by the MU IRB may qualify for exemption from IRB review. An exemption must be determined by the IRB. Research protocols meeting the criteria for exemption
may be determined by the IRB to pose ethical or other concerns and may be referred to the Chair and or Vice-Chair for guidance.

3.6. Upon submission of new IRB application by the investigator, IRB staff will enter the submission into the database system. IRB staff will conduct a review of the research proposal using the IRB checklist. When one or more of the exemption categories are applicable to the research, the IRB staff documents the applicable category(ies) using the checklist.

3.7. The PI may be required to make revisions, clarifications, or other requests before an exemption determination is final.

3.8. All exemption determinations are communicated to the investigator and includes the applicable category(ies) justifying the exemption determination.

3.9. Once an exempt determination is granted, proposed changes to a research protocol should be submitted to the IRB to verify the protocol still meets the exempt category(ies).