

POSC 206 – Core Seminar in International Relations

Professor: Dr. Jeffrey Drope
Tuesday 4-6:30
Room: Wehr Physics 418
Office: Wehr Physics 409

Phone: 414-288-6840
Email: jeffrey.drope@marquette.edu
Office Hours: T 12:30-3:00, Th 1:30-4,
or by appointment

Course description

This course introduces students to the major approaches used by international relations scholars to examine international political phenomena, including realism, liberalism, Marxism, constructivism, rational choice, domestic politics, bureaucratic politics and psychological theories of decision-making. In the second half of the course, we will use these theories in order to discuss central issues in international relations including war and peace, cooperation and political economy (to name just a handful).

Course requirements:

This course is demanding. There is not only a great deal of reading, but most of it is pretty dense. You will be overwhelmed and frustrated at times. That said, though we won't be able to cover every topic to your satisfaction, we will strive to introduce participants to the major approaches and major themes. By the end of the semester, you will not only be able to speak thoughtfully about IR as a sub-discipline, but you should have developed a litany of broader and deeper questions. There is only one book required for purchase: Robert Keohane, Editor, 1986, *Neorealism and its critics* from Columbia University Press. It is inexpensive and widely available through online booksellers. Mostly, however, we will be using articles posted on the class D2L site, or in the case of "comps reading" (explained below), you will be required to track down the articles or book excerpts in the library or from MU's extensive electronic journal collection. Here are the main parameters of the course:

1) **35% - Participation, thought papers and "comps gangs."** This class, like most graduate seminars relies on the preparation and participation of all of the individuals. I expect perfect attendance (within reason) and regular, meaningful and active participation. I reserve the right to call on students without notice!

With preparation in mind, a thought paper is generally due each week at 8am on the day of class (that gives me time to see how you're doing with the material before class begins at 4pm). I know you all get "too" busy from time to time so I will expect a total of 10 thought papers over the course of the semester. Since the first and last week have no substantial reading, there will be no thought paper, which means that you will be given a break for three other classes. In the thought paper, for each of the required readings, I expect that you will identify concisely and meaningfully the author's main argument(s), and then elucidate a thoughtful analysis that includes both the principal strengths and weaknesses of the work, and the contribution to the discipline. You will be graded simply by "Very Good," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory."

Next, in the "Comps reading" section of each week, you are expected to split into groups of four students (there may end up being one or possibly two groups of 5 because of the class number), divide up the readings and create "comp study" summaries for yourself and your colleagues. Because part of the task is to enlighten your peers who have not necessarily read the seminal work, I expect that these

summaries will be more sophisticated than the thought papers. I will not grade these directly, but I do expect that you will include them each week with your thought papers (consider it a check on free-riding!). In weeks with a particular generosity of readings, I will expect no more than two additional readings per person. In previous years, I have seen extra levels of coordination *between* groups so that all of the readings are covered...I'll leave that up to you (it's your comp exam!).

Lastly, each week, I will assign a student or students to "present" each required reading to their colleagues (and me!). I will lead off by facilitating Week #2 (Realism) to give you an idea of my expectations, and will, of course, gently provide additional guidance in each subsequent class. Please understand that I have very high expectations of the students. If you can't fulfill these requirements, you should consider taking a different class.

2) 65% - **Literature reviews.** Each student will be expected to read in depth and prepare written literature surveys on two weekly course topics (one from each half of the semester). The surveys, worth 30% and 35% (usually the second round is better!) of the course grade, will provide an overview and critical analysis of the topic in question, summarize major theoretical issues and debates, and assess the major strengths and weaknesses of a representative selection of works. Papers should be analytical rather than descriptive in nature and approximately 10-12 pages in length (12-point font, double-spaced with 1-1.5" margins). The first review will be selected by you from Weeks #2 to #8. You can submit your review any time, but the first one must not be handed in any later than March 3rd (the second is due during final exam week). There is a clear expectation that you incorporate both the required and comps reading. Moreover, I do NOT want a rehash of our discussions in class. I am seeking greater depth and contemplation. I will simply return reviews for re-writing if this becomes an issue.

Schedule

Week #1 – January 13th – Introduction – Ontology, Epistemology and Methodology

Comps reading:

Hirschman, A.O. 1970. "The Search for Paradigms as a Hindrance to Understanding," *World Politics* 22:3 (April): 329-343.

McClelland, Peter. 1975. *Causal Explanation and Model Building in History, Economics and the New Economic History* (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press): 65-104.

Hirschleifer, Jack. 1985. "The Expanding Domain of Economics," *American Economic Review* (December): 53-68.

Becker, Gary. 1978. *The Economic Approach to Human Behavior*, pp. 3-14.

Rosenberg, Alexander. 1979. "Can Economic Theory Explain Everything?" *Philosophy of Social Science* 9: 509-529.

Tetlock, Philip and Aaron Belkin. 1996. "Counterfactual Thought Experiments in World Politics: Logical, Methodological and Psychological Perspectives," in Tetlock and Belkin, eds., *Counterfactual Thought Experiments*, (Princeton, Princeton University Press, 1996) plus chapter by Fearon, 3-67.

Van Evera, Stephen. 1997. *Guide to Methods for Students of Political Science*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Week #2 – January 20 – Realism

Required:

Doyle, Michael. 1997. *Ways of War and Peace*. New York: W.W. Norton: 41-201. **Posted on D2L.**

Comps reading:

Morgenthau, Hans. 1948. *Politics Among Nations*, Chapters 1 and 3.

Carr, E.H. 1939. *The Twenty Years' Crisis, 1919-1939*, Chapters 5-8.

Gilpin, Robert. 1996. "No One Loves a Political Realist." *Security Studies* 5 (Spring): 3-26.

Shimko, Keith. 1992. "Realism, Neorealism and American Liberalism." *Review of Politics* 54 (Spring): 281-301.

Waltz, Kenneth. 1990. "Realist Thought and Neorealist Theory." *Journal of International Affairs* 44 (Spring): 21-37.

Week #3 – January 27th – Neorealism

Keohane, Robert, Ed. 1986. *Neorealism and its Critics*. New York: Columbia University Press: 1-130; 158-203.

Comps Reading:

Mearsheimer, John. 1990. Back to the Future. *International Security* 15 (1): 5-56.

Mastanduno, Michael. 1997. Preserving the Unipolar Moment: Realist Theories and U.S. Grand Strategy after the Cold War. *International Security* 21 (4): 49-88.

Gilpin, Robert. 1981. *War and Change in World Politics*. New York: Cambridge University Press: 9-15; 156-210.

Walt, Stephen. 1987. *The Origins of Alliances*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press: 17-49.

Snyder, Jack. 1991. *Myths of Empire*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press: 1-111; 156-210.

Grieco, Joseph. 1988. Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism. *International Organization*. 42 (3): 485-507.

Elman, Colin. 2004. Extending Offensive Realism: The Louisiana Purchase and America's Rise to Regional Hegemony. *American Political Science Review* 98 (4): 563-576.

Layne, Christopher. 2006. The Unipolar Illusion Revisited: The Coming End of the United States' Unipolar Moment. *International Security* 31 (2): 7-41.

Lieber, Keir, and Gerard Alexander. 2005. "Waiting for Balancing: Why the World Is Not Pushing Back." *International Security* 30 (1): 109-139.

Montgomery, Evan Braden. 2006. "Breaking Out of the Security Dilemma: Realism, Reassurance, and the Problem of Uncertainty." *International Security* 31 (2): 151-185.

Legro, Jeffrey, and Andrew Moravcsik. 1999. "Is Anybody Still a Realist?" *International Security* 24 (2): 5-55.

Week #4 – February 3rd – Liberalism I (the "liberal peace")

Required:

Oneal, John, Bruce Russett, and Michael Berbaum. 2003. Causes of Peace: Democracy, Interdependence, and International Organizations, 1885-1992. *International Studies Quarterly* 47 (3): 371-394.

- Dixon, William. 1994. Democracy and the Peaceful Settlement of Conflicts. *American Political Science Review* 88 (1): 14-32.
- Pevehouse, Jon, and Bruce Russett. 2006. Democratic International Governmental Organizations Promote Peace. *International Organization* 60 (4): 969-1000.
- Layne, Christopher. 1994. Kant or Cant: The Myth of the Democratic Peace. *International Security* 19 (2): 5-49.

Comps Reading:

- Risse-Kappen, Thomas. 1996. Collective Identity in a Democratic Community: The Case of NATO. In Peter Katzenstein, Ed. *The Culture of National Security*. New York: Columbia University Press: 357-399.
- Reiter, Dan, and Allan Stam. 1998. Democracy, War Initiation and Victory. *American Political Science Review* 92 (2): 377-389.
- Schultz, Kenneth. 1999. Do Democratic Institutions Constrain or Inform? Contrasting Two Institutional Perspectives on Democracy and War. *International Organization* 53 (2): 233-266.
- Clark, David, and Timothy Nordstrom. 2005. Democratic Variants and Democratic Variance: How Domestic Constraints Shape Interstate Conflict. *Journal of Politics* 67 (1): 250-270.

Week #5 – February 10th – Liberalism II (principally “neoliberalism”)

- Keohane, Robert. 1984. *After Hegemony*. Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press: 49-109.
- Sikkink, Kathryn, and Margaret Keck, 1998. *Activists Beyond Borders*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press. 1-38 & 79-120.
- Keohane, Robert O. and Lisa L. Martin. 1995. "The Promise of Institutional Theory." *International Security* 20/1(Summer):39-51
- Mearsheimer, John. 1994/5. "The False Promise of International Institutions. *International Security* 19/3 (Winter): 5-49.

Comps reading:

- Axelrod, Robert, and Robert Keohane. 1985. Achieving Cooperation under Anarchy: Strategies and Institutions. *World Politics* 38 (1): 226-254.
- Snidal, Duncan. 1991. Relative Gains and the Pattern of International Cooperation. *American Political Science Review* 85 (3): 701-726.
- Powell, Robert. 1991. Absolute and Relative Gains in International Relations Theory. *American Political Science Review* 85 (4): 1303-1320.
- Grundig, Frank. 2006. Patterns of International Cooperation and the Explanatory Power of Relative Gains: An Analysis of Cooperation on Global Climate Change, Ozone Depletion, and International Trade. *International Studies Quarterly* 50 (4): 781-802.
- Keohane, Robert, and Joseph Nye. 1977. *Power and Interdependence*. Boston: Little, Brown: 23-37.
- Haas, Peter. 1989. Do Regimes Matter? Epistemic Communities and Mediterranean Pollution Control. *International Organization* 43 (3): 377-404.
- Wapner, Paul. 1995. Politics beyond the State: Environmental Activism and World Civic Politics. *World Politics* 47 (3): 311-340.

- Clark, Ann Marie, Elisabeth Friedman, and Kathryn Hochstetler. 1998. The Sovereign Limits of Global Civil Society: A Comparison of NGO Participation in UN World Conferences on the Environment, Human Rights and Women. *World Politics* 51 (1): 1-35.
- Cooley, Alexander, and James Ron. 2002. The NGO Scramble: Organizational Insecurity and the Political Economy of Transnational Action. *International Security* 27 (1): 5-39.
- Andreas, Peter. 2003. Redrawing the Line: Borders and Security in the Twenty-First Century. *International Security* 28 (2): 78-111.
- Sending, Ole Jacob, and Iver Neumann. 2006. Governance to Governability: Analyzing NGOs, States, and Power. *International Studies Quarterly* 50 (3): 651-672.
- Reimann, Kim. 2006. A View From the Top: International Politics, Norms, and the Worldwide Growth of NGOs. *International Studies Quarterly* 50 (1): 45-68.

Week #6 – February 17th – Rational Choice

Required:

- Lake, David, and Powell, Robert, Eds. 1999. *Strategic Choice and International Relations*. Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press. Pp. 3-38.
- Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce, Alastair Smith, Randolph Siverson, and James Morrow. 2003. *The Logic of Political Survival*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. Pp. 3-76.
- Walt, Stephen. 1999. "Rigor or Rigor Mortis? Rational Choice and Security Studies." *International Security* 23 (4): 5-48.

Comps Reading:

- Stein, Arthur. 1999. "The Limits of Strategic Choice" in *Strategic Choice and International Relations* (David Lake and Robert Powell, Eds.). Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press. 197-228.
- Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce, Alastair Smith, Randolph Siverson, and James Morrow. 2003. "The Selectorate Model" (Chapter 3) from *The Logic of Political Survival*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. Pp. 77-
- Smelser, Neil. 1992. "The Rational Choice Perspective," *Rationality and Society* 4 (October): 381-410.
- Popkin, Samuel. *The Rational Peasant*, pp. 1-31.
- Yarborough, Beth and Robert Yarborough. 1990. "The New Economics of Organization," *International Organization* 44 (Spring): 235-59.
- Downs, George and David Rocke. 1995. Chapter 3 from *Optimal Imperfection? Domestic Uncertainty and Institutions in International Relations*.
- Shepsle, Kenneth. 1989. "Studying Institutions: Some Lessons from the Rational Choice Approach." *Journal of Theoretical Politics* 1 (April): 131-47.
- Schwartz, Barry. 1986. *The Battle for Human Nature*, pp. 152-70.
- Wilson, James Q. 1993. "The Moral Sense," *American Political Science Review* 87, March: 1-11.
- Schelling, Thomas. 2007. "What is Game Theory?" in Schelling, *Choice and Consequence*, pp. 213-42 (skim after page 229).
- Fearon, James. 1995. "Rationalist Explanations for War." *International Organization* 49 (Summer): 379-414.
- Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce. 1981. *The War Trap*, chapter 3.

- Jervis, Robert. 1989. "Rational Deterrence: Theory and Evidence." *World Politics* 41 (January): 143-207.
- Downs, George. 1989. "The Rational Deterrence Debate." *World Politics* 41 (January): 225-38.

Week #7 – February 24th – Constructivism

Required:

- Wendt, Alexander. 1992. Anarchy Is What States Make Of It: The Social Construction of Power Politics. *International Organization* 46 (4): 887-918.
- Finnemore, Martha, and Kathryn Sikkink. 1998. International Norm Dynamics and Political Change. *International Organization* 52 (4): 887-918.
- Adler, Emmanuel. 1997. "Seizing the Middle Ground: Constructivism in World Politics." *European Journal of International Relations* 3, 3: 319-63
- Ruggie, John Gerard. 1986. Continuity and Transformation in the World Polity: Toward a Neorealist Synthesis. In Robert Keohane, Ed. *Neorealism and Its Critics*. New York: Columbia University Press: 131-157.

Comps Reading:

- Ashley, Richard. 1986. The Poverty of Neorealism. In Robert Keohane, Ed. *Neorealism and Its Critics*. New York: Columbia University Press: 255-300.
- Jepperson, Ronald, Alexander Wendt, and Peter Katzenstein. 1996. Norms, Identity, and Culture In National Security. In Peter Katzenstein, Ed. *The Culture of National Security*. New York: Columbia University Press: 33-75.
- Finnemore, Martha. 1996. *National Interests in International Society*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press: 1-67.
- Katzenstein, Peter. 2003. Same War-Different Views: Germany, Japan, and Counterterrorism. *International Organization* 57 (4): 731-760.
- Klotz, Audie. 2002. Transnational Activism and Global Transformations: The Anti-Apartheid and Abolitionist Experiences. *European Journal of International Relations* 8 (1): 49-76.
- Frederking, Brian. 2003. Constructing Post-Cold War Collective Security. *American Political Science Review* 97 (3): 363-378.
- Mitchell, Sara McLaughlin. 2002. A Kantian System? Democracy and Third Party Conflict Resolution. *American Journal of Political Science* 46 (4): 749-759.
- Atkinson, Carol. 2006. Constructivist Implications of Material Power: Military Engagement and the Socialization of States. *International Studies Quarterly* 50 (3): 509-538.
- Lebovic, James, and Erik Voeten. 2006. The Politics of Shame: The Condemnation of Country Human Rights Practices in the UNHCR. *International Studies Quarterly* 50 (4): 861-888.
- Barnett, Michael, and Raymond Duvall. 2005. Power in International Politics. *International Organization* 59 (1): 39-75.
- Kowert, Paul, and Jeffrey Legro. 1996. Norms, Identity and Their Limits. In Peter Katzenstein, Ed. *The Culture of National Security*. New York: Columbia University Press: 451-497.

Week #8 – March 3rd – Marxism and Feminism

Required:

- Cox, Robert, 1986. Social Forces, States, and World Orders: Beyond IR Theory. In Robert Keohane, Ed. *Neorealism and Its Critics*. New York: Columbia University Press: 204-254.
- Wibbels, Erik. 2006. Dependency Revisited: International Markets, Business Cycles, and Social Spending in the Developing World. *International Organization* 60 (2): 433-468.
- Tickner, J. Ann. 2005. What Is Your Research Program? Some Feminist Answers to International Relations Methodological Questions. *International Studies Quarterly* 49 (1): 1-22.
- Peterson, V. Spike. 1992. Introduction & Security and Sovereign States: What Is At Stake in Taking Feminism Seriously? In V. Spike Peterson, Ed. *Gendered States: Feminist (Re)visions of International Relations Theory*. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner: 1-64.

Comps Reading:

- Stallings, Barbara, 1992. International Influence on Economic Policy: Debt, Stabilization, and Structural Reform. In Stephan Haggard and Robert Kaufman Eds. *The Politics of Economic Adjustment*. Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press: 41-88.
- Barbieri, Katherine. 1996. Economic Interdependence: A Path to Peace or a Source of Interstate Conflict? *Journal of Peace Research* 33 (1): 29-49.
- Gill, Stephen, and David Law. 1993. Global Hegemony and the Structural Power of Capital. In Gill, Ed. *Gramsci, Historical Materialism and International Relations*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press: 93-124.
- Ish-Shalom, Piki. 2006. Theory as a Hermeneutical Mechanism: The Democratic-Peace Thesis and the Politics of Democratization. *European Journal of International Relations* 12 (4): 565-598.
- Cohn, Carol. 1987. Sex and Death in the Rational World of Defense Intellectuals. *Signs* 12 (4): 687-718.
- Berman, Jacqueline. 2003. Unpopular Strangers and Crises Unbounded: Discourses of Sex-Trafficking, the European Political Community, and the Panicked State of the Modern State. *European Journal of International Relations* 9 (1): 37-86.
- Joachim, Jutta. 2003. Framing Issues and Seizing Opportunities: The UN, NGO's, and Women's Rights. *International Studies Quarterly* 47 (2): 247-274.
- Carpenter, R. Charli. 2005. 'Women, Children and Other Vulnerable Groups': Gender, Strategic Frames and the Protection of Civilians as a Transnational Issue. *International Studies Quarterly* 49 (2): 2005.
- Sjoberg, Laura. 2006. Gendered Realities of the Immunity Principle: Why Gender Analysis Needs Feminism. *International Studies Quarterly* 50 (4): 889-910.
- Gray, Mark, Miki Caul Kittilson, and Wayne Sandholtz. 2006. Women and Globalization: A Study of 180 Countries, 1975-2000. *International Organization* 60 (2): 293-333.

Week – March 10 – SPRING BREAK – NO CLASS

Week #9 – March 17th – Domestic Level Explanations

Required:

- Milner, Helen. 1997. *Interests, Institutions and Information*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University

Press. Chapters 1&2.

Moravscik, Andrew. 1997. Taking Preferences Seriously: A Liberal Theory of International Politics. *International Organization* 51 (4): 513-554.

Putnam, Robert. 1988. Diplomacy and Domestic Politics: The Logic of Two-Level Games. *International Organization* 42 (3): 427-460.

Comps Reading:

Mertha, Andrew, and Robert Pahre. 2005. "Patently Misleading: Partial Implementation and Bargaining Leverage in Sino-American Negotiations on Intellectual Property Rights." *International Organization* 59 (3): 695-729.

Mansfield, Edward, and Jack Snyder. 2002. Democratic Transitions, Institutional Strength, and War. *International Organization* 56 (2): 297-338.

Peceny, Mark, and Caroline Beer, with Shannon Sanchez-Terry. 2002. "Dictatorial Peace?" *American Political Science Review* 96 (1): 15-26.

Bennett, D. Scott. 2006. "Toward a Continuous Specification of the Democracy-Autocracy Connection." *International Studies Quarterly* 50 (2): 313-338.

Krasner, Stephen. 1978. *Defending the National Interest*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press: Chapters 3, 8, and 9.

Garrett, Geoffrey. 1995. "Capital Mobility, Trade, and the Domestic Politics of Economic Policy." *International Organization* 49 (4): 657-688.

Doner, Richard, Bryan Ritchie, and Dan Slater. 2005. "Systemic Vulnerability and the Origins of Developmental States: Northeast and Southeast Asia in Comparative Perspective." *International Organization* 59 (2): 327-361.

Week #10 – March 24th – International War (including nuclear weapons)

Required:

Fearon, James. 1995. "Rationalist Explanations for War." *International Organization* 49 (2): 379-414.

Powell, Robert. 2003. "Nuclear Deterrence Theory, Nuclear Proliferation, and National Missile Defense." *International Security* 27 (4): 86-118.

Van Evera, Stephen. 1999. *Causes of War: Power and the Roots of Conflict*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press: Chapters 1-2

Comps reading:

Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce, and David Lalman. 1992. *War and Reason*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press: Chapters 1-3.

Sagan, Scott. 1994. The Perils of Proliferation: Organization Theory, Deterrence Theory, and the Spread of Nuclear Weapons. *International Security* 18 (4): 66-107.

Lieber, Keir, and Daryl Press. 2006. The End of MAD? The Nuclear Dimension of U.S. Primacy. *International Security* 30 (4): 7-44.

Tannenwald, Nina. 2005. Stigmatizing the Bomb: Origins of the Nuclear Taboo. *International Security* 29 (4): 5-49.

Powell, Robert. 2006. War as a Commitment Problem. *International Organization* 60 (1): 169-203.

Bennett, D. Scott, and Allan Stam. 2000. A Universal Test of an Expected Utility Theory of War.

- International Studies Quarterly* 44 (3): 451-480.
- Clarke, Kevin. 2001. Testing Non-Nested Models of International Relations: Reevaluating Realism. *American Journal of Political Science* 45 (3): 724-744.
- Gartzke, Erik, and Kristian Gleditsch. 2006. Identity and Conflict: Ties that Bind and Differences that Divide. *European Journal of International Relations* 12 (1): 53-87.
- Sagan, Scott. 1996-1997. Why Do States Build Nuclear Weapons? Three Models in Search of a Bomb. *International Security* 21 (3): 54-86.
- Feaver, Peter, and Emerson Niou. 1996. Managing Nuclear Proliferation: Condemn, Strike, or Assist? *International Studies Quarterly* 40 (2): 209-234.
- Howard, Peter. 2004. Why Not Invade North Korea? Threats, Language Games, and U.S. Foreign Policy. *International Studies Quarterly* 48 (4): 805-828.

Week #11 – March 31st – Civil War

Required:

- Fearon, James, and David Laitin. 2003. "Ethnicity, Insurgency, and Civil War." *American Political Science Review* 97 (1): 75-90.
- Doyle, Michael, and Nicholas Sambanis. 2000. "International Peacebuilding: A Theoretical and Quantitative Analysis." *American Political Science Review* 94 (4): 779-802.
- Walter, Barbara. 1997. "The Critical Barrier to Civil War Settlement." *International Organization* 51 (3): 335-364.
- Peceny, Mark, and William Stanley. 2001. "Liberal Social Reconstruction and the Resolution of Civil Wars in Central America." *International Organization* 55 (1): 149-182.

Comps reading:

- Pape, Robert. 2003. The Strategic Logic of Suicide Terrorism. *American Political Science Review* 97 (3): 343-361.
- Fortna, Page. 2004. Does Peacekeeping Keep Peace? International Intervention and the Duration of Peace after Civil War. *International Studies Quarterly* (48): 269-292.
- Stedman, Stephen. 1997. Spoiler Problems in Peace Processes. *International Security* 22 (2): 5-53.
- Hegre, Håvard, Tanja Ellingsen, Scott Gates, and Nils Petter Gleditsch. 2001. Toward a Democratic Civil Peace? Democracy, Political Change, and Civil War, 1816-1992. *American Political Science Review* 95 (1): 33-48.
- Ross, Michael. 2004. How Do Natural Resources Influence Civil War? Evidence from Thirteen Cases. *International Organization* 58 (1): 35-68.
- Fearon, James. 2005. Primary Commodity Exports and Civil War. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 49 (4): 483-507.
- Kaufmann, Chaim. 1996. Possible and Impossible Solutions to Ethnic Civil Wars. *International Security* 20 (4): 136-175.
- Barnett, Michael. 2006. Building a Republican Peace: Stabilizing States after War. *International Security* 30 (4): 87-112.
- Barbieri, Katherine, and Rafael Reuveny. 2005. Economic Globalization and Civil War. *The Journal of Politics* 67 (4): 1228-1247.
- Sambanis, Nicholas, and Håvard Hegre. 2006. Sensitivity Analysis of Empirical Results on Civil War Onset. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 50 (4): 508-535.

- Humphreys, Macartan, and Jeremy Weinstein. 2006. Handling and Manhandling Civilians in Civil War. *American Political Science Review* 100 (3): 429-448.
- Kaufman, Stuart. 2006. Symbolic Politics or Rational Choice? Testing Theories of Extreme Ethnic Violence. *International Security* 30 (4): 45-86.
- Walter, Barbara. 2006. Information, Uncertainty, and the Decision to Secede. *International Organization* 60 (1): 105-135.

Week #12 – April 7th – International Organizations and Institutions

Required:

- Barnett, Michael, and Martha Finnemore. 1999. “The Politics, Power, and Pathologies of International Organizations.” *International Organization* 53 (4): 699-732.
- Martin, Lisa and Beth Simmons. “Theories and Empirical Studies of International Institutions.” *International Organization* 42, 2: 729-757,
- Koremenos, Barbara, Charles Lipson, and Duncan Snidal. 2001. “The Rational Design of International Institutions.” *International Organization* 55 (4): 761-800.
- Tsebelis, George, and Geoffrey Garrett. 2001. “The Institutional Foundations of Intergovernmentalism and Supranationalism in the European Union.” *International Organization* 55 (2): 357-390.

Comps reading:

- Meyer, Christoph. 2005. “Convergence Towards a European Strategic Culture? A Constructivist Framework for Explaining Changing Norms.” *European Journal of International Relations* 11 (4): 523-549.
- Henning, Randall. 1998. Systemic Conflict and Monetary Integration in Europe. *International Organization* 52 (3): 537-574.
- Barnett, Michael, and Liv Coleman. 2005. Designing Police: Interpol and the Study of Change in International Organizations. *International Studies Quarterly* 49 (4): 593-620.
- Krasner, Stephen. 1983. Structural Causes and Regime Consequences: Regimes as Intervening Variables. *International Organization* 36 (2): 185-205.
- Ruggie, John Gerard. 1983. International Regimes, Transactions, and Change: Embedded Liberalism in the Post-War Economic Order. *International Organization* 36 (2): 379-415.
- Moravscik, Andrew. 1999. A New Statecraft? Supranational Entrepreneurs and International Cooperation. *International Organization* 53 (2): 267-306.
- Moravscik, Andrew. 2000. The Origins of Human Rights Regimes: Democratic Delegation in Postwar Europe. *International Organization* 54 (2): 217-252.
- Pevehouse, Jon. 2002. Democracy from the Outside-In? International Organizations and Democratization. *International Organization* 56 (3): 515-550.
- Nielson, Daniel, and Michael Tierney. 2003. Delegation to International Organizations: Agency Theory and World Bank Environmental Reform. *International Organization* 57 (2): 241-276.
- Pollack, Mark. 1997. Delegation, Agency, and Agenda Setting in the European Community. *International Organization* 51 (1): 99-134.
- Ballman, Alexander, David Epstein, and Sharyn O’Halloran. 2002. Delegation, Comitology, and

the Separation of Powers in the European Union. *International Organization* 56 (3): 551-574.

Schimmelfennig, Frank. 2001. The Community Trap: Liberal Norms, Rhetorical Action, and the Eastern Enlargement of the European Union. *International Organization* 55 (1): 47-80.

Posner, Elliot. 2005. Sources of Institutional Change: The Supranational Origins of Europe's New Stock Markets. *World Politics* 58 (1): 1-40.

Zürn, Michael, and Jeffrey Checkel. 2005. Getting Socialized to Build Bridges: Constructivism and Rationalism, Europe and the Nation-State. *International Organization* 59 (4): 1045-1079.

Week #13 – April 14th – Trade and Money

Required:

Krasner, Stephen. 1976. State Power and the Structure of International Trade. *World Politics* 28 (3): 317-347.

Hiscox, Michael. 2001. Class versus Industry Cleavages: Inter-Industry Factor Mobility and the Politics of Trade. *International Organization* 55 (1): 1-46.

Bearce, David. 2003. Societal Preferences, Partisan Agents, and Monetary Policy Outcomes. *International Organization* 57 (2): 373-410.

Leblang, David. 2003. To Devalue or to Defend: The Political Economy of Exchange Rate Policy. *International Studies Quarterly* 47 (4): 533-560.

Comps reading:

Gowa, Joanne, and Edward Mansfield. 1993. Power Politics and International Trade. *American Political Science Review* 87 (2): 408-420.

Milner, Helen, and Benjamin Judkins. 2004. Partisanship, Trade Policy, and Globalization: Is There a Left-Right Divide on Trade Policy? *International Studies Quarterly* 48 (1): 95-120.

Widmaier, Wesley. 2004. The Social Construction of the 'Impossible Trinity': The Intersubjective Bases of Monetary Cooperation. *International Studies Quarterly* 48 (2): 433-454.

Keefer, Philip, and David Stasavage. 2003. The Limits of Delegation: Veto Players, Central Bank Independence, and the Credibility of Monetary Policy. *American Political Science Review* 97 (3): 407-423.

Lohmann, Susanne, and Sharyn O'Halloran. 1994. Divided Government and US Trade Policy: Theory and Evidence. *International Organization* 48 (4): 595-632.

Goldstein, Judith. 1996. International Law and Domestic Institutions: Reconciling North American 'Unfair' Trade Laws. *International Organization* 50 (4): 541-564.

Fordham, Benjamin, and Timothy McKeown. 2003. Selection and Influence: Interest Groups and Congressional Voting on Trade Policy. *International Organization* 57 (3): 519-550.

Davis, Christina. 2004. International Institutions and Issue Linkage: Building Support for Agricultural Trade Liberalization. *American Political Science Review* 98 (1): 1353-169.

Henisz, Witold, and Edward Mansfield. 2006. Votes and Vetoes: The Political Determinants of Commercial Openness. *International Studies Quarterly* 50 (1): 189-212.

Kono, Daniel. 2006. Optimal Obfuscation: Democracy and Trade Policy Transparency.

- American Political Science Review* 100 (3): 369-384.
- Shadlen, Kenneth, Andrew Schrank, and Marcus Kurtz. 2005. The Political Economy of Intellectual Property Protection: The Case of Software. *International Studies Quarterly* 49 (1): 45-72.
- Bernhard, William, J. Lawrence Broz, and William Roberts Clark. 2002. The Political Economy of Monetary Institutions. *International Organization* 56 (4): 725-750.
- Hays, Jude. 2003. Globalization and Capital Taxation in Consensus and Majoritarian Democracies. *World Politics* 56 (1): 79-113.
- Simmons, Beth, and Zachary Elkins. 2004. The Globalization of Liberalization: Policy Diffusion in the International Political Economy. *American Political Science Review* 98 (1): 171-189.
- Drope, Jeffrey, and Wendy Hansen. 2004. Purchasing Protection? The Effect of Political Spending on U.S. Trade Policy. *Political Research Quarterly* 57 (1): 27-37.

Week #14 – April 21st – Equitable Development in a “Globalizing” World

Required:

- Garrett, Geoffrey. 1998. Global Markets and National Politics: Collision Course or Virtuous Circle? *International Organization* 52 (4): 787-824.
- Rudra, Nita. 2002. Globalization and the Decline of the Welfare State in Less Developed Countries. *International Organization* 56 (2): 411-446.
- Nooruddin, Irfan, and Joel Simmons. 2006. The Politics of Hard Choices: IMF Programs and Government Spending. *International Organization* 60 (4): 1001-1033.
- Hall, Rodney Bruce. 2003. The Discursive Demolition of the East Asian Development Model. *International Studies Quarterly* 47 (1): 71-100.

Comps reading:

- Jones Luong, Pauline, and Erika Weinthal. 2004. Contra Coercion: Russian Tax Reform, Exogenous Shocks, and Negotiated Institutional Change. *American Political Science Review* 98 (1): 139-152.
- Iversen, Torben, and Anne Wren. 1998. Equality, Employment, and Budgetary Restraint: The Trilemma of the Service Economy. *World Politics* 50 (4): 507-546.
- Rueda, David, and Jonus Pontusson. 2000. Wage Inequality and Varieties of Capitalism. *World Politics* 52 (3): 350-383.
- MacIntyre, Andrew. 2001. Institutions and Investors: The Politics of the Economic Crisis in Southeast Asia. *International Organization* 55 (1): 81-122.
- Stiglitz, Joseph. 2002. *Globalization and Its Discontents*. New York: W.W. Norton: Chps. 1,4-5,8.
- Frye, Timothy. 2004. Credible Commitment and Property Rights: Evidence from Russia. *American Political Science Review* 98 (3): 453-466.
- Horowitz, Shale. 2004. Structural Sources of Post-Communist Market Reform: Economic Structure, Political Culture, and War. *International Studies Quarterly* 48 (4): 755-778.
- Stone, Randall. 2004. The Political Economy of IMF Lending in Africa. *American Political Science Review* 98 (4): 577-592.
- Way, Christopher, and Hector Schamis. 2003. Political Cycles and Exchange-Based

Stabilization. *World Politics* 56 (1): 43-78.

Milner, Helen, and Keiko Kubota. 2005. Why the Move to Free Trade? Democracy and Trade Policy in the Developing Countries. *International Organization* 59 (1): 107-143.

Week #15 – April 28th – Wrap-up

FINAL LITERATURE REVIEW DUE IN MY OFFICE BY 5:30PM ON TUESDAY, MAY 5th.