Core Curriculum Review Committee  
Approved Minutes  
January 27, 2010

Present: Mike Monahan (chairperson), Lea Acord, Melissa Condon (student representative), Cheryl Coan, John Curran, Kim Factor, Chris Geiser, Jeff LaBelle, Mickey Mattox, Michelle Mynlieff, Jane Peterson, Richard Taylor, Mike Zeps

Call to Order:  
The meeting was called to order at 3:30 by Mike Monahan, Chairperson.

Reflection:  
Richard Taylor provided the reflection.

Minutes:  
Minutes of December 9, 2009 were approved as distributed.

Old Business:  
Rubrics for ICLO  
Mike reported that ICLO is on D2L and that the students who we hope will be participating in the survey have been uploaded. Mike will strongly urge the students to take the survey. A discussion ensued regarding Activities A and B (see attached). The Committee approved of the document with the following changes:

(1) Under the category “Organization - Meets” - the language was changed to “Purpose is adequately discernable if somewhat ambiguous, and/or content is loosely organized”

(2) Under the category “Use of Evidence - Exceeds” - the language was changed to “The essay deploys evidence well (accurate presentation, detailed analysis, and logical connection to purpose of essay)”.

A discussion ensued regarding Activity C (see attached). The Committee approved of the document with the following changes:

(1) The categories “Organization”, “Objectives” and “Audience” were removed

(2) Under the category “Use of Mathematical Concepts - Exceeds” the language now reads “The response completely and clearly addresses relevant mathematical concepts and supports claims with correct mathematical reasoning”.

(3) Under the category “Use of Mathematical Concepts - Meets”, the language was changed to “The response addresses a majority of relevant mathematical concepts with only minor errors in mathematical reasoning”
(4) Under the category “Use of Evidence - Exceeds”, the language now reads “The response deploys evidence well (accurate presentation, detailed analysis, and logical connection to purpose of response).”

Renewal Policy Proposal Revisions:
The Committee reviewed the Renewal Policy Proposal (see attached). After some discussion, a motion was made to table the policy at this point and members were urged to send comments, thoughts, concerns and questions to Mike.

Adjournment:
The meeting was adjourned at 5pm.

Respectfully Submitted,
Lea Acord